

Resource Assessment Service

Deep Creek Lake Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Survey 2015

Report of Survey Activity and Results

Prepared For

Maryland Department of Natural Resources

Maryland Park Service

Prepared by

Julie Bortz and J. Brooke Landry

Maryland Department of Natural Resources

Table of Contents

Executive Summary
Introduction
Methods
Field4
Analysis
Results
Discussion and Conclusions 18
Recommendations25
References
Appendix A
Appendix B
Appendix C
Appendix D
Appendix E
Appendix F

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Submerged aquatic vegetation, or SAV, can be found in a variety of aquatic habitats and forms the foundation of healthy lake ecosystems. Similar to their terrestrial counterparts, SAV are underwater grasses which provide a myriad of important ecological functions. Through the process of photosynthesis, SAV produce oxygen which is vital to the survival of all lake organisms. It provides food, habitat and nursery grounds for many species of fish and invertebrates, absorbs nutrients which decreases the likelihood of algal blooms, improves water clarity by reducing turbidity, diminishes shoreline erosion by reducing the effects of waves and currents, and is a major food source for waterfowl. Healthy native aquatic plant communities also help prevent the establishment of invasive plants like *Myriopbyllum spicatum* (Eurasian watermilfoil) and *Hydrilla verticillata* (Water thyme).

During the summer 2015 field season, Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Resource Assessment Service (RAS) biologists conducted a 6th year of SAV monitoring in Deep Creek Lake (DCL). Despite its inherent ecological benefits, SAV can be an impediment to recreation and boat traffic in shallow areas, or in areas with fluctuating water levels. Due to concerns raised by some DCL residents regarding the density of SAV during the summer season, RAS biologists implemented an SAV transect monitoring plan in summer 2010 and has repeated the program each summer since. In 2012, SAV monitoring was expanded to include a comprehensive shoreline survey designed to determine the spatial extent of *Myriophyllum* species (including Eurasian watermilfoil, an invasive species) throughout the lake. This survey was expanded in 2013 and in 2014 to document the extent of both *Myriophyllum* and *Hydrilla verticillata. Hydrilla* is an invasive plant that was discovered in the southern portion of the lake in September 2013. Following the discovery of *Hydrilla*, a management and control plan was designed and successfully implemented during the 2014 summer season. The survey was expanded again in 2015 to include all SAV visible from the surface, with particular attention paid to *Potamogeton amplifolious*, or Large-leafed pondweed. *Potamogeton amplifolious* is a plant native to Maryland but was thought to be extirpated from the state until 2013, when it was documented in both Rocky Gap State Park's Lake Habeeb and Deep Creek Lake. Since its discovery, populations have expanded in both density and distribution throughout Deep Creek Lake.

Like most any ecosystem, Deep Creek Lake has a fluctuating environment. Because of its role as a hydroelectric utility, the water level in the lake fluctuates often, which affects the distribution of SAV growing in the lake. There are also periods of heavy precipitation, drought conditions, and record high and low temperatures. Because of its fluctuating environment, it is necessary to maintain a long-term SAV monitoring program in DCL in order to track changes over time. As such, our SAV monitoring objectives were to define the distribution and relative abundance of SAV species present in the lake and to record their change over time via the study of representative transects, and to identify the location and extent of *Myriophyllum, Hydrilla* and other species of concern via the shore-line survey. This work is a component of the comprehensive water quality and habitat monitoring program in DCL which began in April 2009.

Major findings from the 2015 SAV monitoring efforts in DCL are as follows:

- There is a diverse population of SAV growing throughout the lake with densities ranging from sparse to 100% cover where present.
- Ten genera of vascular plants and two genera of macroalgae have been observed on the transects and during the shoreline surveys.
- By increasing monitoring and plant identification efforts in 2015, five additional species were added to the list of plants present in DCL. Four of the five species are native to Maryland and include *Potamogeton epihydrus, Ceratophyllum echinatum, Najas gracillima* and *Myriophyllum humile.* The fifth, *Potamogeton crispus,* is non-native and has been documented as invasive in other freshwater lakes.

- The high density and diversity of SAV in most areas of DCL are promoting water clarity throughout the lake and providing habitat for a healthy population of fish and invertebrates.
- Sagittaria cristata, (Crested arrowhead), Vallisneria americana, (Wild celery), and Potamogeton pusillus (Slender pondweed) were dominant vascular species observed at transect sites throughout the lake in 2015. Other commonly observed plants were Elodea spp., Myriophyllum spp., and Potamogeton vaseyii. Macroalgae was also dominant in several areas.
- Species zonation was apparent at most sites with *Sagittaria cristata* dominating the shallower portions and *Potamogeton spp., Vallisneria americana, Myriophyllum spp.*, and macroalgae most commonly observed at deeper depths.
- Of the 8 transects surveyed in 2015, the most diverse sites were Honi Honi and Red Run Cove, respectively, followed by Meadow Mountain Run and Paradise Cove. The transect sites showing the lowest diversity were Deep Creek Cove and Holy Cross (both in the southern portion of the lake).
- Potamogeton amplifolius continued to expand its range in DCL in 2015. This species is considered legally endangered in Maryland and was thought to be extirpated from Maryland waters.
- Though not identified to the species level during the transect surveys, *Myriophyllum spicatum*, or Eurasian Watermilfoil, is believed to be the dominant *Myriophyllum* species present in DCL. This plant is considered an Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) and efforts have been underway since 2012 to track its distribution in the lake via comprehensive shoreline surveys. DNR biologists conducted a fourth shoreline survey in September 2015 during the plant's peak biomass. Results of the survey indicate that *Myriophyllum* was present at 141 locations throughout the Lake at the time of the survey, and occupied <2% (1.6% or 23 acres) of available benthic habitat. That number is over 2% less than that observed in 2014 (4% or 60 acres) and lower than the low of 2%, or 29 acres, observed in 2013.
- A second year of invasive plant management was successfully implemented in the southern leg of Deep Creek Lake in 2015 to control for *Hydrilla verticillata*. Treatment of the 16 locations where *Hydrilla* was found growing at varying densities shows continued suppression with no viable above ground biomass observed at the end of growing season at treated sites. However, another small bed (<2m²) of *Hydrilla* was found in October 2015 in the Green Glade region of the lake. This bed was immediately spot treated with the herbicide Di-quat, trade name Clipper. This new bed will be included in the 2016 *Hydrilla* control activity for a total of 17 locations that will be treated and monitored closely.

INTRODUCTION

During the summer 2015 field season, Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Resource Assessment Service (RAS) biologists conducted a sixth year of submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) monitoring in Deep Creek Lake (DCL). Despite its inherent ecological benefits, SAV can be an impediment to recreation and boat traffic in shallow areas, or in areas with fluctuating water levels. Due to concerns raised by some DCL residents regarding the density of SAV during the summer season, RAS biologists implemented a SAV transect monitoring plan in summer 2010 and has repeated the program each summer since. In 2012, SAV monitoring was expanded to include a comprehensive shoreline survey designed to determine the spatial extent of Myriophyllum species (including Eurasian Watermilfoil or M. spicatum, an invasive species) throughout the lake. This survey was repeated in 2013 and in 2014 to document the extent of both Myriophyllum and Hydrilla verticillata. In 2015, the survey was expanded again to document the presence of and distribution of other species to include, but not limited to, Potamogeton amplifolious (Broadleaved or largeleaf pondweed). Potamogeton amplifolius is a native plant, previously thought to be extirpated from Maryland's waters but was found in 2013 in both Deep Creek Lake and Rocky Gap Lake and has been expanding in density and distribution within DCL waters. Other easily visible and identifiable plant species were also documented in the 2015 shoreline survey in an attempt to better map the SAV community within DCL and identify changes in that community over time.

Our DCL monitoring objectives were to define the distribution and relative abundance of SAV species present in the lake and to record their change over time via the study of representative transects, and to identify the location and extent of non-native species like *Myriophyllum* and *Hydrilla* via the shoreline survey as well as document the distribution and relative abundance of native species throughout the lake. This work is a component of the comprehensive water quality and habitat monitoring program in DCL which began in April 2009.

Background

Deep Creek Lake is located in Garrett County, western Maryland. The lake was formed in 1925 when Deep Creek was impounded for hydro-electric power generation. Following its creation, DCL was owned by multiple power companies until 2000, when the State of Maryland purchased the lake bottom and shoreline buffer zone. The State's acquisition of DCL has presented many unique and challenging management issues, particularly to DNR's Park and Resource Assessment Services.

With 68 miles of shoreline, DCL is Maryland's largest reservoir with an estimated surface area of 3,900 acres and a photic zone within the lake of 1480 acres. The lake is composed of a mainstem, branches, and multiple small, shallow coves fed by four major tributaries and more than 50 smaller streams. The lake's 180,000 acre watershed, which is in the Youghiogheny River watershed, is located west of the eastern continental divide, ultimately draining into the Gulf of Mexico. Because it is a reservoir, the water level fluctuates seasonally due to managed releases and hydrographic conditions, resulting at times in lower than average water levels. Since the lake was created, it has become a four-season travel destination with endless recreational opportunities, particularly in the last thirty years since the completion of Interstate 68. Towns have grown up around the lake, and much of the lake's shore is now lined with hotels, condominiums, and private homes. The northern portion of the lake watershed is primarily composed of towns, residential areas, and forested land. The southern portion of the lake watershed is dominated by agricultural land (Fig. 1) (Kelsey and Powell, 2011).



Beginning in late spring when temperatures increase, SAV begins growing throughout the lake's photic zone, particularly in the shallower coves, which are the first to receive nutrient-enriched runoff from the surrounding watershed, and are warmer due to shallower depths. Similar to their terrestrial counterparts, these underwater grasses provide a myriad of important ecological functions. Through the process of photosynthesis, SAV produces oxygen that is vital to the survival of other lake organisms. It provides food, habitat, and nursery grounds for many species of fish and invertebrates, as well as waterfowl. It absorbs nutrients, which in turn decreases the likelihood of algal blooms, and it improve water clarity by locking sediments in their root systems. SAV also diminishes the effects of shoreline erosion by reducing the impacts of currents and waves (generated by wind as well as heavy boat wakes), also improving water clarity. Additionally, healthy native aquatic plant communities help prevent the establishment and spread of aquatic invasive species (AIS) plants like Eurasian watermilfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum), Hydrilla (Hydrilla verticillata), and Curly Pondweed (Potamogeton crispus), all of which are found in Deep Creek Lake.

Aquatic Invasive Species

Aquatic invasive species (AIS) have been shown to create significant economic and ecological harm, including the loss of biodiversity, altered aquatic food webs, reduced water quality, reduced public safety and health, a decline in fisheries, damage to infrastructure, reduced boating, fishing, and other recreational opportunities, and a loss of tourism revenue to local communities. In 2015, the General Assembly passed House Bill 860, entitled the State Lakes Invasive Species Act of 2015, which provides that after April 1, 2017, an owner of a vessel may not place the vessel or have the vessel placed in a lake at a public launch or public dock unless the owner has cleaned the vessel and removed all visible organic material. The Act also directed the Department of Natural Resources to convene a workgroup to evaluate actions that could reduce the risk of the introduction and spread of aquatic invasive species in Maryland state-owned-and-managed lakes. As of 2015, at least 3 species of AIS plants have been found in DCL. The most concerning is Hydrilla verticillata which was found in DCL in 2013. The genus Hydrilla has a single species, H. verticillata, which is considered an exotic invasive species found throughout the United States. The strain found in Deep Creek Lake is the monoecious strain introduced to Delaware in 1976. This plant is a rooted aquatic plant that forms dense mats in still or slowly moving water. Hydrilla is very similar in appearance to the native waterweed Elodea species (Elodea canadensis and Elodea nutallii), which are found throughout Deep Creek Lake. Also of concern is Myriophyllum spicatum, or Eurasian watermilfoil. There are approximately 70 species of *Myriophyllum* (watermilfoil): submersed aquatic plants that are most commonly recognized for their long stems and whorled leaves that are finely, pinnately divided. The name Myriophyllum comes from Latin, "myrio" meaning "too many to "phyllum" meaning "leaf". While count", and Myriophyllum fruits and leaves are an important food source for waterfowl, which are thought to play an important role in seed and clonal dispersal (Jacobs and Margold, 2009), Myriophyllum spicatum, or Eurasian watermilfoil, can be invasive and out compete other native species for habitat. Myriophyllum spicatum is one of at least three species of Myriophyllum found in Deep Creek Lake, but *M. spicatum* is the only invasive variety documented thus far. Potamogeton crispus or Curly Pondweed, was only recently discovered in DCL in 2015 but has been shown to be a highly invasive aquatic plant in other freshwater lakes, such as the Finger Lakes in central New York state, and thus its appearance in 2015 is a concern in DCL. It is a plant that generally prefers waters high in nutrients and is an early and late season specialist that dominates in the spring and produces burr-like turions in mid July, senesces in late summer, then goes through another growth cycle in the late fall/winter. Observations of the plant population in DCL, however, don't suggest that it follows the life cycle described in the literature. Its potential spread in DCL is consequently currently unknown.

METHODS

In June 2010, RAS biologists, accompanied by local SAV experts from Frostburg State University, identified six representative areas to survey SAV in Deep Creek Lake. These areas were selected based on the presence of SAV as well as their spatial distribution within the lake. They include two north/western sites (Red Run Cove and McHenry), two central sites (Meadow Mountain Run and Honi Honi), and two south/ eastern site (Deep Creek Cove and Green

Glade Cove). Two additional survey sites were added in the summer of 2015 to provide better spatial representation, particularly in the southern end of the lake. The newly added locations were in Holy Cross Cove and Paradise Point Cove. See Figure 2 for a map of locations, and Table 1 for spatial coordinates and site abbreviations.



Figure 2. Aerial map of Deep Creek Lake with MD DNR SA transect locations indicated by red dots.

Site	Abbreviation	<u>Latitude (°N)</u>	Longitude (°W)
Red Run Cove	RRC	39.49977	79.3711
McHenry	McH	39.55087	79.35787
Honi Honi	HHO	39.50485	79.32091
Meadow Mountain Run	MMR	39.51182	79.30334
Deep Creek Cove	DCC	39.45368	79.30904
Green Glade Cove	GGC	39.47844	79.26206
*Paradise Point Cove	PPC	39.50137	79.29363
*Holy Cross Cove	HCC	39.48447	79.30193

Table 1. Transect names, abbreviations, and coordinates.

* New transects added in 2015

At the time each survey location was established in June 2010, the extent of the SAV bed was identified by divecertified SAV biologists using SCUBA. Along the shoreward edge of the bed, a spot was randomly selected to begin a transect. Rebar was used to mark each point and secure a transect tape. A biologist then swam the tape out, perpendicular to shore, to the deep edge of the SAV bed where a weighted buoy was placed to mark the point and secure the opposite end of the tape. If conditions were considered unsafe due to heavy boat traffic, transects were terminated prior to the edge of bed. If the SAV bed extended farther than 200 meters from shore, transects were terminated at 200 meters. Both ends of the transect were recorded using a handheld Garmin Global Positioning System (GPS) device so that all future surveys could be repeated in the same location. If the SAV beds expanded or contracted, a new point was recorded and the transect was terminated at the current edge of bed. One transect was completed at each site during the 2010-2014 surveys. In 2015, an additional transect was added to each survey site.

During each sampling event, SAV biologists sampled eleven 0.25m² quadrats per transect. To establish the sampling positions, the transect lengths were divided by 10 for a total of 11 quadrats per transect. For example, if a transect was 100 meters long, quadrats were sampled at 0m, 10m, 20m, 30m, 40m, 50m, 60m, 70m, 80m, 90m, and 100m from the shoreward edge of bed. Within each quadrat, the percent cover of both underwater grasses and macroalgae (MA) were visually quantified for each species present. A total SAV percent cover was also estimated, as well as a total macroalgae percent cover. In this case, SAV is any vascular plant present, whereas macroalgae is any nonvascular plant present. The two groups are quantified and recorded separately because of their differing responses to water quality dynamics. [Note: SAV and MA were not originally separated, so results in this report regarding previous years may vary from results in past reports.] Additionally, MA was previously identified to the genus level. In 2013, MA was only identified as MA and previous year's data were clumped to reflect the lack of differentiation. Canopy height for each species present was recorded when possible, as well as water depth at each quadrat. Shoot counts for each species were completed within a smaller square in the bottom right corner of the quadrat when feasible. If the plant could not be identified to the species level, only the genus was recorded. Transects were surveyed twice in 2010 (early and late season) and three times in 2011 - 2014 (early, mid, and late season). An analysis of the dataset

showed that, aside from a difference in SAV abundance over the course of the growing season, no differences in community composition were detected between sampling events. Therefore, to reduce expenditures and simplify the sampling design, the SAV in DCL was only surveyed once, during peak biomass, in 2015 (August 31-September 1, 2015).

A comprehensive shoreline survey was conducted September 1-3, 2015. The goal of this survey was to document the distribution of Myriophyllum spp. as well as all other SAV visible from the surface, including invasives such as Hydrilla or Potamogeton crispus. During the 2015 shoreline survey, a survey of the entire 68-mile shoreline was conducted over a three-day period using three boats. Each boat was equipped with a driver and one or two on-board "observers" that had hand-held Garmin GPS units to mark SAV bad locations. Two of the three boats were as additionally equipped with Lowrance HDS echo-sounders (with side and downscan functionality). The Lowrance echo-sounders display unique signatures for different species of SAV; that functionality combined with the on-board observers provided the ability to locate and geographically mark and record patches of various SAV species either visually or with the help of echo sounders. Although there are between three and four species of Myriophyllum believed to be present in DCL, only one, Myriophyllum spicatum, is invasive. Because it is physically similar to and difficult to differentiate from other species of the genus, all Myriophyllum observations were recorded at the genus level. Another genus that is hard to differentiate to the species level with the naked eye are the waterweeds. Elodea canadensis and Elodea nutallii. When found on either the transect or shoreline survey, all *Elodea* observations were recorded at the genus level.

In response to the September 2013 discovery of invasive *Hydrilla verticillata* and the July 2015 finding of another AIS, *Potamogeton crispus*, DNR biologists and Deep Creek Lake Natural Resource Management Area (DCL NRMA) staff conducted additional, more intensive surveys in the southern end of the lake where those plants were found. The goal was early detection of new AIS species as well as documentation of additional species not previously recorded during the shoreline survey. These surveys were conducted by boats, kayaks, and paddleboards to document the presence and relative abundance of species observed. When visibility

precluded identification, random rake tosses were also conducted and species presence, location, and relative abundance were recorded. To determine the relative efficacy of the aforementioned methods at early detection of AIS, additional targeted surveys were completed by both SCUBA diving and snorkeling in specific "high risk" areas. Species and location data collected during these targeted surveys are not included in this report unless a new species (not previously recorded at DCL) or additional AIS species were found, such as the case with *P. crispus*.

Approximately 30 small beds of *P. crispus* (<5m²) were found in the Pawn Run area, located in the southwestern portion of DCL. Beds were GPS marked with PVC and selectively identified for hand-removal in August by DNR RAS biologists and DCL NRMA staff. Beds will be monitored during the 2016 growing season to determine if hand-removal is a viable control mechanism or if other methods need to be enlisted to suppress its spread. (See Appendix F).

In an effort to verify the identification of SAV to the species level, DNR biologists and DCL NRMA staff collected voucher specimens of the various species of SAV found at DCL in 2015. When possible, whole plant specimens (as much of the plant and reproductive parts that could be obtained) were collected, bagged in lake water, and sent to the DNR Wye Mills Laboratory. There, the Maryland State Botanist identified, pressed, mounted, labeled, and archived the specimens for future reference at the DNR Tawes Herbarium. If the State Botanist confirmed the species identification recorded in the field, then it was assumed that a positive identification to the species level for the plant in question had been made. Results denote positive identification with a "yes" in the final column of Table 2: List of Species. If either a voucher specimen was not obtained and/or a positive identification by the State Botanist or DNR field biologists could not be confirmed, a "no" is indicated in the final column of Table 2.

Data Analysis

Raw transect data was entered into a Microsoft Excel

Table 2. List of SAV species/genera observed in Deep Creek Lake during summers 2010-2015 SAV surveys. Also given are the abbreviations used in this report and the plant's common name. Note: * denotes that species were newly identified in 2015. Species in red are aquatic invasive species.

SAV/MA	Species	Abbrev- iation	Common name	Voucher & Positive ID
SAV	Sagittaria cristata	Sc	Crested arrowhead	no
SAV	Vallisneria americana	Va	Wild celery	yes
SAV	Elodea spp.	E spp.	Waterweeds	na
SAV	Elodea nutallii	En	Western or Nutalls waterweed	yes
SAV	Elodea canadensis	Ec	Common waterweed	no
SAV	Ceratophyllum echinatum*	Ce	Spineless hornwort	yes
SAV	Ceratophyllum demersum	Cđ	Coontail	no
SAV	Myriophyllum spp.	Myr spp.	Watermilfoil	na
SAV	Myriophyllum spicatum	Ms	Eurasian watermilfoil	no
SAV	Myriophyllum humile	Mhum	Low watermilfoil	yes
SAV	Myriophyllum sibricum	Msb	Northern watermilfoil	no
SAV	Myriophyllum heterophyllum	Mhet	Two-leafed milfoil	no
SAV	Hydrilla verticillata	Hv	Hydrilla	yes
SAV	Najas flexilis	Nf	Slender/nodding naiad	yes
SAV	Najas guadalupensis	Ngp	Southern naiad	yes
SAV	Najas gracillima*	Ng	Slender waternymph	no
SAV	Najas minor*	Nm	Spiny naiad	no
SAV	Utricularia vulgaris	Uv	Common bladderwort	no
SAV	Isoetes spp.	Iso	Quillwort	yes
SAV	Potamogeton pusillus	Pp	Slender pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton crispus*	Pcr	Curly pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton epihydrus*	Pepi	Ribbon-leafed pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton vaseyi	Pv	Vasey's pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton spirillus	Ps	Spiral pondweed	no
SAV	Potamogeton diversifolius	Pđ	Waterthread pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton amplifolius	Pa	Broad-leaved pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton nodosus	Pn	Longleaf pondweed	yes
SAV	Potamogeton robbinsii	Pr	Robins pondweed	no
MA	Chara vulgaris	Cv	Chara	yes
MA	Nitella flexilis	Nit	Nitella	yes

spreadsheet. Using color-blocking, total SAV and total macroalgae data were used to create color-coded representations of the transects which were geographically overlaid onto a map of Deep Creek Lake (See Appendix B). Species richness was defined for each transect and sampling event as the number of species observed per transect. Species diversity, which is a measure of both the number of species (richness) and

the relative contribution of each of these species to the total number of individuals in a community, was also calculated and analyzed. Frequency of occurrence and density for each species or genera at each site were calculated using the following formulas:

Frequency of Occurrence =

of quadrats where observed /total # of quadrats

Density = sum of % cover values/ total # of quadrats.

Density and frequency of occurrence were used to determine which species were dominant at each site during each sampling event. Dominance was defined as density being equal to or greater than 10% or frequency of occurrence being equal to or greater than 50%. To determine dominance for sampling year 2010, a species/ genus had to be found dominant during both sampling events that took place that year. For sampling years 2011-2014, in which three sampling events took place, only the August and September events were considered and a species/genus had to be found dominant during both sampling events to be determined dominant for the site for the year. In 2015 when only one sampling even took place (but two transects were surveyed at each site rather than just one), a species needed to be dominant on both transects to be considered dominant for the site for the year.

All data were recorded into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. To graphically display observed changes in total SAV and total macroalgae over time, density bar charts were created. To show observed changes in *Myriophyllum* specifically, frequency of occurrence and density data graphs were also created in Sigma Plot 12.0 (Systat, Inc., San Jose, CA) graphing software. Bar graphs were created to show change in *Myriophyllum* density while point/line graphs were created and overlaid on density graphs to simultaneously show changes in frequency of occurrence over time.

To identify any significant differences in SAV among sites and changes over time, statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot and SAS statistical software package (Enterprise Guide 5.1, SAS Institute Incorporated, Cary, NC). Changes in total SAV and macroalgae density were assessed using linear regression and Species richness and diversity, total SAV density and total macroalgae density were compared over time and among sites using 3-Way ANOVAs with site, sampling year and quadrat (nested in site) as treatments. Individual species density and frequency of occurrence were also assessed in order to determine differences over space and time using 3-Way ANOVAs. Homogeneity of variances was assessed using Levene's test. Following a significant ANOVA (p ≤ .05), pairwise comparisons were performed using Bonferroni's test.

Data collected during the comprehensive shoreline survey were transferred from hand-held Garmin GPS units into ArcGIS for mapping and analysis (ArcGIS Desktop 10.1. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute). To determine the spatial extent of *Myriophyllum*, coordinates taken at the center of each bed or patch were assigned area values based on GPS points and field observations and were merged to create a lakewide map of *Myriophyllum*. In 2015, coordinates were also recorded for non-*Myriophyllum* SAV beds, such as *V. americana* and *P. amplifolius*, or mixed species beds.

RESULTS

Ten genera of vascular aquatic plants have been observed in Deep Creek Lake while conducting SAV surveys. These plants include Vallisneria americana, Sagittaria cristata, Elodea spp. (Elodea canadensis and Elodea nutallii), Myriophyllum spp. (including the native M. sibiricum, the native M. heterophyllum, the native M.humile, and the non-native M. spicatum, or Eurasian watermilfoil, an Aquatic Invasive Species in North America), Ceratophyllum echinatum and Ceratophyllum demersum, Najas flexilis, Najas guadalupensis, and another Najas species that was difficult to differentiate (either Najas gracillima or Najas minor), Utricularia vulgaris, Isoetes spp.. Several species of Potamogeton were also observed, including P. epihydrus, P. amplifolius, P. pusillus, P. vaseyii, P. nodosus, P. crispus, P. robinsii and P. diversifolius. All of the above plants were found in DCL during the 2015 surveys except P. robinsii, P. spirillus, C. demersum, M. heterophyllum, and M. sibiricum. These plants were not observed in 2015.

Two species of macroalgae have also been observed throughout the lake during surveys, including *Nitella flexilis* and *Chara vulgaris*. In 2013, it was determined that *Nitella* and *Chara* would no longer be differentiated due to physical similarity and difficulty in differentiation while SCUBA diving so they are no longer separated in the analysis. Both species were simply recorded as "macroalgae".

Common names and abbreviations for both SAV and macroalgae species can be found in Table 2. Pictures,

line drawings, and a brief description of each species are given in Appendix A.

Table 3 includes a summary of sampling results, including transect length, total SAV density and frequency of occurrence, total macroalgae density and frequency of occurrence, species richness, and density and frequency of occurrence for each SAV species observed during each survey. Table 4 gives the dominant species observed during each sampling event and for the year. Figure 3 shows total SAV and total macroalgae density graphed over time for each transect, with corresponding trendlines showing overall increasing, decreasing, or no-change trends. Maps of Deep Creek Lake with color-coded total SAV and total macroalgae survey data, found in Appendix B, compliment the bar charts in Figure 3 but more clearly display the quadrat by quadrat relationship between SAV and macroalgae. In many cases, there was an inverse relationship between SAV and macroalgae; where SAV was dense, macroalgae was sparse, and vice versa. Figure 4 shows Myriophyllum density and frequency of occurrence graphed over time for each transect.

Most species that were observed were seen throughout the lake, but each site was dominated by only a few species. The following are site specific results as analyzed across year (2010-2015) based on August and September transect data. Sites found in the northern section of the lake are discussed first (Red Run Cove and McHenry), followed by sites in the middle portion or central region (Meadow Mountain/State Park and Honi Honi as well as the newly added central to southern sites Paradise Point Cove and Holy Cross), and finally the southern sites (Green Glade Cove and Deep Creek Cove) are discussed last.

<u>Red Run Cove (RRC)</u>: In the northwestern arm of the lake near the dam, Red Run Cove (RRC) is a site with a transect length from 90-127m and max depth of 4.1m. SAV Survey results indicate that macroalgae and *Elodea* dominated this bed in 2010 (Table 4). In 2011, *Elodea* maintained dominance, but *S. cristata* replaced macroalgae. *Elodea* co-dominated with *Myriophyllum* and macroalgae in 2012. In 2013, *Elodea* was no longer dominant plant at the site and instead *Sagittaria cristata*, *Myrophyllum spp.* and macroalgae dominated at this site. By 2014, *Potamogeton pusillus* began to dominate the site along with *S. cristata.* This pattern continued into 2015 with both *Potamogeton pusillus* and *S. cristata* codominating. Total SAV density (% cover) in RRC showed a slightly decreasing, though statistically insignificant trend, from 2010-2015, despite early season spikes in density. There was also a statistically insignificant overall decrease in macroalgae at the site between 2010 and 2015 (Figure 3). Species richness showed a slightly increase over time (2010-2015) at Red Run with a maximum species diversity of 9 species observed in 2015. *Myriophyllum* was observed at low densities in RRC during every sampling event, although it had a short- lived spike in frequency of occurrence in September 2013, as seen in Figure 4.

McHenry Cove (McH): In the northeastern arm of the lake, close to the WISP Resort, McHenry (McH) is a site with a transect length from 30-100m and max depth of 5.4m. SAV survey results showed Vallisneria americana as the dominant in 2010. Macroalgae dominated the bed in 2011 and again in 2012, but was outcompeted by V. americana as the only dominant in 2013, following a sewage spill in the vicinity in August. It appears that the raw sewage may have acted to smother the macroalgae growing near the bottom while the V. americana was unaffected because its leaves extended high into the water column. Vallisneria americana was the only dominant again in 2014 and 2015. Average SAV density showed no noticeable trend across the time period with a slight decline in average macroalgae density at this location, though neither was statistically significant (Figure 3). Maximum species richness, while experiencing a slight decline in 2013-14, remained relatively unchanged over the period. Myriophyllum was only observed in trace amounts and low frequencies from 2010-2015, with a slight spike (up to 20% frequency of observance) in 2011-2012 returning to trace amounts in 2013 and an overall no change over time.

<u>Honi Honi (HHO)</u>: In the middle portion of Deep Creek Lake, the SAV bed surveyed near the Honi Honi (HHO), on the western shore of the central portion of the lake area, was a long transect ranging from 110-200m with the greatest maximum depth of any transect (6.3m). This SAV bed was dominated by *Myriophyllum* in 2010, by macroalgae in 2011, and by *S. cristata* and *Myriophyllum* in 2012. In 2013 and 2014 *Myriophyllum* was the lone dominant species and remained dominant Table 3. Summary of sampling results, including date, transect length (m), richness, total SAV density, total macroalgae density (MA) and density and frequency of occurrence (in parentheses) for each SAV species observed during each survey.

	/	/=	. /.		/	/	/	/	/ &	/ 2	/	/	/	/	/	1.	/	/	/	/
Date	12	- sugge		200	1	8	2	4	/ 3°	ANT.	*	*	3	/ 🧕	22	200	1	23	20	100
8/4/10	RRC	127	9	35(82)	28(55)	6(18)	0(0)	5(55)	0(0)	3(9)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(9)	10(18)	0(0)	9(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/15/10	RRC	125	7	33(91)	40(64)	9(18)	0(0)	10(55)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	11(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/14/11	RRC	100	5	48(82)	13(27)	2(18)	0(0)	9(55)	0(0)	5(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	32(82)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/9/11	RRC	110	7	71(100)	2(18)	13(27)	0(0)	17(64)	0(0)	4(55)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	5(45)	0(0)	32(100)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/12/11	RRC	100	6	41(100)	0(0)	13(27)	0(0)	20(73)	0(0)	3(45)	2(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	3(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	RRC	100	7	58(100)	34(45)	13(27)	0(0)	23(64)	0(0)	6(55)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	14(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(27)	0(0)
8/22/12	RRC	110	7	42(91)	15(27)	9(18)	0(0)	27(55)	0(0)	5(64)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	RRC	100	6	39(100)	18(36)	7(18)	0(0)	22(64)	0(0)	4(55)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	4(9)	0(0)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/15/13	PPC	100	9	29(100)	17(64)	13(36)	0(0)	44(73) 2(73)	0(0)	5(64)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(10)	4(45)	0(0)	9(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/27/13	RRC	90	7	31(100)	6(55)	12(36)	0(0)	1(27)	0(0)	12(100)	0(0)	0(0)	10(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/30/2014	RRC	100	9	22(100)	22(55)	9(27)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	3(55)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	1(18)	<1(27)	0(0)	6(55)	0(0)	0(0)	1(9)
8/12/2014	RRC	110	8	45(100)	6(27)	13(36)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	7(55)	2(36)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	19(36)	0(0)	8(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/17/2014	RRC	100	5	23(73)	10(18)	10(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	4(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	10(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	RRC	100	7	50(100)	5(18)	14(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	5(27)	6(27)	0(0)	0(0)	19(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	6(18)
9/1/2015	RRC	100	6	40(82)	12(55)	11(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	11(36)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	15(36)	0(0)	3(7)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/4/10	McH	80	5	34(100)	4(27)	2(18)	10(18)	12(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	9(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/15/10	McH	90		14(82)	5(18)	<1(18)	10(18)	2(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)
8/0/14/11	мсн	0.5	4	4(27)	32(82)	<1(9)	3(9) 7(18)	0(0) <1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0) <1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0) <1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/12/11	McH	60	7	16(82)	8(64)	<1(10)	10(27)	<1(27)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	3(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	McH	100	7	12(55)	55(73)	0(0)	8(18)	3(27)	0(0)	<1(21)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/22/12	McH	90	5	30(73)	26(64)	<1(9)	5(9)	13(73)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	3(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	McH	75	7	30(100)	18(64)	7(18)	14(18)	<1(36)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(36)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/20/13	McH	50	6	19(100)	16(64)	0(0)	11(45)	4(73)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	3(91)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/15/13	McH	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0(0)
9/27/13	McH	30	3	35(91)	0(0)	<1(9)	34(82)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/30/2014	McH	60	5	8(91)	33(64)	<1(9)	7(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/12/2014	McH	50	6	23(73)	8(45)	<1(9)	23(55)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/17/2014	McH	60	5	22(91)	2(45)	0(0)	15(45)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	5(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/31/2015	McH	50	5	23(82)	10(45)	0	21(45)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)
8/31/2015	MCH	00	3	12(4)	0(0)	45(9)	14(27)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/5/10	нно	195	6	38(91)	30(55)	15(36)	0(0)	1(27)	0(0)	21(64)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/15/10	нно	200	5	46(90)	8(40)	6(27)	0(0)	2(18)	0(0)	31(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	8(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/14/11	нно	150	7	40(82)	20(64)	7(45)	0(0)	14(18)	<1(9)	16(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)
8/9/11	нно	180	9	44(82)	25(64)	15(45)	0(0)	3(18)	0(0)	5(18)	<1(27)	2(18)	15(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/12/11	нно	162	8	29(91)	15(18)	7(36)	0(0)	6(27)	0(0)	6(45)	3(18)	0(0)	3(9)	0(0)	11(27)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	HHO	180	7	37(73)	41(45)	15(36)	0(0)	4(36)	0(0)	3(45)	0(0)	0(0)	6(27)	0(0)	5(36)	4(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/22/12	HHO	180	6	36(73)	34(55)	15(36)	0(0)	6(27)	0(0)	12(45)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	3(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	нно	190	6	72(100)	7(18)	17(36)	0(0)	27(64)	0(0)	27(73)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(27)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/20/13	нно	150	8	39(100)	10(27)	19(45)	0(0)	10(55)	0(0)	4(36)	0(0)	<1(9)	4(27)	<1(9)	<1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
0/15/13	нно	140	6	22(91)	6(36) <1(0)	5(27)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	11(45)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(16)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/30/2014	нно	140	4	25(100)	<1(18)	7(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	18(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/12/2014	нно	110	5	9(91)	1(18)	3(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	5(64)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)
9/17/2014	нно	150	4	22(100)	<1(18)	8(45)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	14(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	нно	130	6	31(100)	5(64)	15(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	9(54)	0(0)	0(0)	10(36)	0	3(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(9)
9/1/2015	нно	140	9	44(100)	24(64)	18(55)	4(9)	2(36)	0(0)	11(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	4(45)	<1(9)	10(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)
9/1/2015	HC	60	3	36(82)	7(36)	14(27)	20(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	HC	50	3	31(82)	3(36)	26(54)	4(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	PPC	200	5.0	41(73)	18(27)	13(27)	28(54)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	3(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	1(9)
9/2/2015	PPC	200	6	47(91)	26(55)	11(3)	29(45)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	5(18)

Table 3 Continued. Summary of sampling results, including date, transect length (m), richness, total SAV density, total macroalgae density (MA) and density and frequency of occurrence (in parentheses) for each SAV species observed during

	/	/=	. /	<i>S</i> .	/	/	/	/	8	/ &	/	/	/	/	/	1	/	/	/	/
Date	1 2	- energy		200	1 25	/ %	\$	/ 4	/ cs*	ANT.	* \	*	3	8	22	20 ²	1	1 29	/ es	/ e*
8/5/10	MMR	63	6	51(100)	0(0)	30(82)	21(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/15/10	MMR	60	3	51(82)	0(0)	34(64)	17(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/14/11	MMR	55	4	35(100)	0(0)	29(82)	6(73)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/9/11	MMR	55	8	46(100)	<1(9)	37(91)	5(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	2(36)	0(0)	2(82)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/12/11	MMR	60	4	51(100)	0(0)	34(73)	17(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	MMR	55	7	46(100)	2(9)	34(82)	11(64)	1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/22/12	MMR	60	6	54(82)	5(27)	35(73)	16(45)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	4(18)	1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	MMR	50	5	46(100)	0(0)	40(73)	23(64)	4(19)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0) <1(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/15/13	MMD	55	4	40(100) 57(100)	0(0)	40(82)	15(64)	<1(10)	0(0)	0(0)	<(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	2(43)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/27/13	MMR	45	3	67(100)	0(0)	53(82)	13(64)	<1(21)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/30/2014	MMR	50	4	58(100)	0(0)	49(91)	7(64)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)
8/12/2014	MMR	50	4	43(100)	0(0)	30(82)	12(64)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/17/2014	MMR	50	4	47(100)	0(0)	34(91)	15(73)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	MMR	55	4	53(100)	0(0)	35(82)	18(64)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	MMR	50	6	42(91)	<1(91)	22(64)	19(73)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)
8/5/10	DCC	200	7	87(100)	13(18)	<1(18)	0(0)	60(82)	<1(18)	7(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	11(27)	0(0)	9(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/16/10	DCC	200	7	68(100)	7(18)	2(27)	0(0)	44(73)	17(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	3(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/14/11	DCC	200	4	70(100)	0(0)	7(27)	0(0)	36(82)	14(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	13(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/9/11	DCC	200	8	58(82)	0(0)	5(9)	0(0)	24(64)	3(27)	8(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	1(9)	0(0)	1(9)	<1(9)	13(27)	0(0)
9/12/11	DCC	200	6	54(100)	2(9)	7(18)	0(0)	31(64)	14(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	DCC	200	6	29(100)	30(55)	4(27)	0(0)	18(73)	2(55)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	4(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/22/12	DCC	200	4	52(91)	22(55)	6(18)	0(0)	43(73)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	DCC	200	6	68(100)	7(27)	12(18)	0(0)	54(73)	<1(9)	<1(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/20/13	DCC	200	8	38(91)	21(55)	1(27)	0(0)	16(64)	3(45)	<1(9)	3(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	15(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/15/13	DCC	200	10	55(91)	32(73)	6(18)	0(0)	20(55)	3(36)	9(36)	2(18)	0(0)	0(0)	1(9)	<1(9)	0(0)	5(27)	0(0)	7(9)	0(0)
9/2//13	DCC	200	ŏ	41(82)	47(91)	5(9)	0(0)	23(55)	<1(9)	2(36)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	4(18)	0(0)	5(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/12/2014	DCC	200	2	10(73)	59(91)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(27)	<1(9)	1(16)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	5(45)	0(0)	9(9)	0(0)
9/17/2014	DCC	150	3	<1(9)	9(55)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2014	DDC	200	2	9(27)	85(91)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	3(9)	0(0)	6(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	DDC	200	2	7(55)	74(91)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	6(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
			_	.()	()	-(-/	-(-/	-(-/	-(-/	-(-/	-(-)	-(-/	-(-/	-(-/		-(-/	-()	-(-/	-(-/	-(-/
8/5/10	GGC	70	5	49(100)	11(64)	20(55)	0(0)	27(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(27)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/15/10	GGC	70	4	31(55)	30(45)	20(36)	0(0)	13(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/14/11	GGC	65	5	26(82)	4(27)	13(36)	0(0)	6(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	7(82)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/9/11	GGC	55	7	68(100)	2(18)	21(45)	0(0)	9(36)	0(0)	6(9)	0(0)	0(0)	11(73)	0(0)	15(55)	0(0)	6(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/12/11	GGC	54	5	36(73)	8(45)	20(36)	0(0)	4(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	8(18)	0(0)	5(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/27/12	GGC	65	4	48(91)	7(18)	14(45)	0(0)	23(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	11(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/22/12	GGC	66	6	31(82)	5(36)	20(45)	0(0)	11(45)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)
9/19/12	GGC	80	6	48(91)	7(9)	25(36)	0(0)	22(55)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/20/13	GGC	50	8	26(100)	<1(9)	18(55)	0(0)	1(45)	0(0)	<1(27)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	<1(9)	0(0)	5(64)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
8/15/13	GGC	40	7	36(100)	2(73)	28(64)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(36)	0(0)	7(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/27/13	GGC	40	7	26(100)	8(55)	23(55)	0(0)	2(45)	0(0)	<1(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
6/30/2014	GGC	50	7	14(100)	10(64)	11(45)	0(0)	<1(45)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(18)	0(0)	<1(55)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)
8/12/2014	GGC	60	5	20(82)	5(82)	14(45)	0(0)	3(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(9)	0(0)	2(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/17/2014	GGC	45	5	12(91)	15(55)	8(45)	0(0)	1(36)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	2(36)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	GGC	60	4	25(91)	21(73)	20(54)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	3(18)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	2(2)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
9/1/2015	GGC	00	4	10(91)	9(73)	11(45)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	<1(27)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	4(54)	0(0)	2(3)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)

Table 4. Dominant Species for each transect according to year

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
RRC	<i>Elodea spp.,</i> Macroalgae	Sagittaria cristata, Elodea spp.	<i>Elodea spp.,</i> <i>Myriophyllum spp.,</i> Macroalgae	<i>Sagittaria cristata, Myriophyllum spp.,</i> Macroalgae	Sagittaria cristata Potamogeton pusillus	Sagittaria cristata Potamogeton pusillus
McH	Vallisneria americana	Macroalgae	Macroalgae	Vallisneria americana (only 1 sampling event available)	Vallisneria americana	Vallisneria americana
онн	Myriophyllum spp.	Macroalgae	Sagittaria cristata, Myriophyllum spp.	Myriophyllum spp.	Myriophyllum	Sagittaria cristata Myriophyllum spp. Macroalgae
MMR	Sagittaria cristata, Vallisneria americana	Sagittaria cristata	Sagittaria cristata, Vallisneria americana	Sagittaria cristata, Vallisneria americana	Sagittaria cristata, Vallisneria americana	Sagittaria cristata, Vallisneria americana
DCC	Elodea spp.	Elodea spp.	Elodea spp.	<i>Elodea spp.,</i> Macroalgae	Macroalgae	Macroalgae
GGC	<i>Sagittaria cristata, Elodea spp.,</i> Macroalgae	Sagittaria cristata	Sagittaria cristata, Elodea spp.	<i>Sagittaria cristata,</i> Macroalgae	Macroalgae	<i>Sagittaria cristata,</i> Macroalgae
						Sagittaria cristata
Q	not sampled;	not sampled;	not sampled;	not sampled;	not sampled;	Vallisneria
đ	added in 2015	added in 2015	added in 2015	added in 2015	added in 2015	americana
HCC	not sampled; added in 2015	not sampled; added in 2015	not sampled; added in 2015	not sampled; added in 2015	not sampled; added in 2015	Sagittaria cristata

Dominant Species

in 2015 along with *Sagittaria cristata* and macroalgae (Table 4). Total SAV and total macroalgae densities decreased at this location between 2010 and 2015. There was a slight overall decreasing trend in total SAV and macrophyte abundance from 2010-2015 (Figure 3). *Myriophyllum spp.* was commonly observed at this transect, and showed statistically higher relative abundance (based on % cover) than all other sites. No significant change in either in density or frequency of occurrence (Figure 4) was observed across years although a slight decline was observed in 2011 and then rebounded the following year to almost identical abundances and frequency of occurrance. Maximum species richness increased at this site in 2015, becoming

one of the most diverse transect sites with 11 species observed in 2015.

<u>Meadow Mountain Run (MMR)</u>: Across the lake from Honi Honi, the SAV bed surveyed offshore of the State Park in Meadow Mountain Run Cove (MMR) was dominated by *S. cristata* and *V. americana* from 2010-2015 except in 2011 when only *S. cristata* was dominant during the Aug-September time frame (Table 4). This transect ranged from 45-63m with a max depth of 4.2m. Total SAV and macroalgae abundance remained relatively unchanged from 2010-2015 (Figure 3) and *Myriophyllum* was never observed at this transect. Species richness trends also remained relatively unchanged over the 2010-2015 time period with a maximum species richness of 8 species observed in 2011, a slight decrease during 2013-14 to a low diversity of 4 species, and rebounding to the observed high diversity (8 species) again in 2015. Both sites in the central portion of the lake (Honi Honi and Meadow Mountain Run/State Park) were relatively constant across parameters measured and years sampled.

Paradise Point Cove (PPC): On the other side of the Glendale Bridge in the central mainstem of the southeastern section of the lake, Paradise Point Cove (PPC) is a new transect site surveyed in 2015 to provide better representation in the southern section of the lake. The site is thought to be representative of the central to southern portion of the lake, with "southern" portion deemed to begin on the south side of the Glendale Transect lengths were the maximum 200m Bridge. with maximum depths reaching approximately 3 meters. The dominant plants were Sagittaria cristata and Vallisneria americana, however, a total of 8 species of SAV and 2 species of macroalgae were found at this site in 2015 giving a species richness of 9, making PPC one of the more diverse sites and longest transects sampled in the 2015 survey. Besides the dominant plants, other noteworthy species observed on this transect were P. amplifolious (only found in one other transect site) and N. flexilus, Elodea species and P. vaseyii, P. pusillus, U. vulgaris and macroalgae. This site was selected for inclusion in the transect survey largetly due to it's location and diversity of plants allowing for a more easy detection of change observed over time.

<u>Holy Cross Cove (HCC)</u>: Along the southwestern mainstem of the lake, just north of the southern extent of the *Hydrilla* found in DCL, Holy Cross Cove (HCC) is a new site surveyed in 2015 to provide better representation in the southern section of the lake. This transect ranged between 50-60m with a maximum depth up to 3m making this one of the shorter transects surveyed in 2015. *Sagittaria cristata* was the only plant found to be dominant along both transects however *Vallisneria americana* was found to be dominant along one transect with *P. pusillus* and macroalgae found along both transects. Species diversity was only four, which is among the least diverse of the transect sites. Anecdotal observations indicate this is a newly colonized site by SAV (within the last three years). Deep Creek Cove (DCC): In the southern portion of the lake, Deep Creek Cove (DCC) had one of the longest transects (constant length of 200m until September 2014 when it decreased temporarily to 150m, and max depth of 3.7m, returning to 200m in 2015). This expansive bed was dominated by Elodea spp. in 2010-2013, and then co-dominated with macroalgae in 2013 and shifted to only macroalgae dominated during 2014-2015. Ceratophyllum demersum was also very common (although not dominant) in 2011. As Elodea spp. abundance began to decline, macroalgae and P. vaseyii became more common, although P. vaseyii was never dominant at the site. Hydrilla verticillata was discovered floating near the transect at this site during the September 2013 survey. A search led to the source of the floating plants in a nearby cove and later to an extensive eradication program at affected areas (See Appendix E). These results are discussed later in this report but it should be noted that in 2014, Deep Creek Cove was treated with the herbicide, Flouridone, which is targeted for *Hydrilla* control, but can negatively impact other species of SAV as well. The herbicide treatment continued at the site in 2015 and the decrease in species richness, abundance and frequency also continued. Species richness reached a maximum in 2013 of 9 and a minimum of 3 in 2015. Deep Creek Cove had significantly higher macroalgae relative abundance, significantly lower SAV relative abundance and significantly lower richness than other sites in 2015. Additionally similar trends were seen over time at DCC as total SAV decreased significantly between 2010 and 2015 while total macroalgae increased significantly over that time period (see Figure 3). Myriophyllum was present in low densities (<10% cover) and oscillating frequencies during most sampling events through 2013, but did not change significantly over time (Figure 4).

<u>Green Glade Cove</u> (GGC): East of DCC in the southeastern portion of the lake, GGC had transect lengths ranging from 40-80m and a max depth of 4m. This SAV bed was dominated by *S. cristata, Elodea spp.*, and macroalgae in 2010. In 2011, the dominant plant observed was solely *S. cristata* and in 2012, *S. cristata* and E*lodea spp.* co-dominated. In 2013 and 2015, macroalgae and *S. cristata* co-dominated and only macroalgae was dominant in 2014 (Table 4). Macroalgae abundance was relatively constant across years whild total SAV abundance showed a decreasing trend between 2010 and 2015 (Figure 3). Myriophyllum was present in low

Red Run Cove





McHenry

90

0

2010

2011



Honi Honi



Deep Creek Cove



Figure 3. Total SAV and Macroalgae graphed over time.

densities during most sampling events, but it did not change significantly over time. Myriophyllum frequency of occurrence increased between 2010 and 2015 (see Figure 4). Species richness was relatively constant from 2010-2015 with a maximum richness of 7 in 2012 and a minimum of 4 in 2010 (Figure 5).

In 2015, total average SAV abundance (density as measured by % cover) was greatest at Meadow Mountain Cove/State Park (48%), Paradise Point Cove (44%) and Red Run (45%) respectively and lowest in 2015 in Deep Creek Cove (8%) and McHenry Cove (17.5%) and Green Glade Cove (21%) (see Figure 6). Total macroalgae cover was highest in Deep Creek Cove (Table 3) during 2015 and species richness was coincidentally lowest there as well. The Honi Honi site

Green Glade Cove

2012



Meadow Mountain Run Average SAV Density (%cover) Average MA Density (%cover)

2013

2014

2015



Figure 4. Myriophyllum density graphed over time.

The Honi Honi site showed the greatest species richness in 2015 with 11 species found, followed by Paradise Point Cove and Red Run Cove, each with 9 species found in 2015 (see Figure 5). *Sagittaria cristata, V. americana, P. pusillus* and macroalgae were the dominant plants observed across all transects in 2015 (see Table 4). *Potamogeton vaseyii, Elodea spp.* and *Myriophyllum spp.* were also fairly common throughout, followed by *P. amplifolious, Najas flexilus, Najas guadalupensis, Utricalaria vulgaris, Isoetes spp.* and *P. diversifolious.* No *C. demersum, P. robinsii* or *P. spirillus* was found in 2015 during the transect survey (see Table 3).

Along the deeper edges of the SAV beds, we observed more *Myriophyllum*, and *P. pusillus*, *P. amplifolious* and the two species of macroalgae (which have lower light requirements), *Chara* and *Nitella*. *Elodea spp*. has been found in the shallows (<1m water) as well as along the deeper edges (2-3m water) and could grow possibly deeper in DCL waters but shows great depth variability and annual variability.

While the SAV transects surveyed represent the lake as a whole, the comprehensive shoreline surveys allowed us to map the lake-wide spatial extent of *Myriophyllum*

Figure 5. Species Richness at each site across years 2010-2015

In general, species zonation was apparent at all sites. Sagittaria cristata, a plant with low canopy height, was observed at all sites during every sampling event, with occasional exceptions at the McH, DCC, and newly added HCC transects. In all cases, it was observed at its highest densities along the shallow edge of the SAV beds. Along transects with little slope and minimal depth, S. cristata maintained high densities father from shore. As transects moved offshore and got deeper, S. cristata was generally replaced by Potamogeton spp., Ceratophyllum spp., and V. americana or a combination thereof. All of these species can form canopies from 0.5-2 meters or more. Potamogeton spp. were seen reaching the surface at shallow to mid-depths during the August and September sampling events due to their reproductive strategy. During late summer/early fall, the Potamogetons send their reproductive structures to the surface to take advantage of its two dimensional aspect.

specifically. With this sampling design, in 2012 we identified 130 locations with *Myriophyllum*, totaling approximately 86 acres where *Myriophyllum* was present at varying densities (Table 5). Using bathymetry data collected by the Maryland Geological Survey, 86 acres represents approximately 2.3% of the lake surface and 5.8% of the waters less than six meters deep, the photic zone in which plants may grow in Deep Creek Lake.

Table 5. Myriophyllum summary results

	# Myr spp.	Area	% Lake	% Photic
Date	Beds	(acres)	Surface	Zone
Jun-12	130	86	2.2	5.8
Jun-13	69	29	0.74	1.96
Sep-14	214	60	1.54	4.05
Sep-15	141	23	0.59	1.55

Figure 6. SAV and Macroalgae Density at each Transect in 2015

The remaining 94.2% of habitat within the photic zone was free of Myriophyllum. During the June 2013 shoreline survey, Myriophyllum was only identified at 69 locations throughout the lake, totaling approximately 29 acres where *Myriophyllum* was present at varying densities. Twenty-nine acres represents 0.74% of the lake surface, and 1.96% of bottom available within the photic zone. In 2014 and 2015, the shoreline survey was conducted later in the growing season (September) when Myriophyllum was at its peak biomass and spatial extent. During the 2014 survey, Myriophyllum was identified at 214 locations in patches of varying size and density, totaling approximately 60 acres. Sixty acres represents 1.54% of the lake's surface, and 4.05% of its photic zone. In 2015, Myriophyllum was identified at 141 locations in patches varying in size and density, totaling approximately 23 acres. Twenty three acres represents 0.59% of the lake's surface, and 1.55% of its photic zone.

Currently three aquatic invasive species (AIS) of plants have been documented in DCL. These include *M. spicatum*, *H. verticillata* and *P. crispus*. The latter (*P. crispus*) was first documented in July 2015, while *H. verticillata* was first found in September 2013. *Myriophyllum spicatum* was identified before the survey began in 2009. Beds of *Myriophyllum* spp. are found throughout the lakes coves, shorelines and deeper water. All beds of the non-native AIS plant, *Potamogeton crispus*, were confined to the Pawn Run Cove area of DCL and in August 2015 were individually mapped using GPS at the same time bed size was estimated and recorded (See Appendix F for bed size and GPS locations). Since 2013, Hydrilla verticillata has only been found in the southern portion of the lake. Because of the aggressive nature of the invasive plant, an active control/eradication program began whereby beds and nearby areas where Hydrilla was documented were treated with the herbicide Flourodone. For additional information on that treatment process and the monitoring to document its efficacy, please see Appendix D. During the 2015 shoreline survey and additional surveys conducted by DNR RAS scientists in an attempt to early detect any new beds of Hydrilla, one newly discovered small bed (<2m²) of Hydrilla verticillata was found in the Green Glade arm of the lake at 39.4726°N/ 79.2659°W in late September 2015. The bed was then spot treated by DNR staff using the herbicide, Di-quat trade name Clipper, in October 2015. It should be noted that because neither H. verticillata nor P. crispus were found on any of the transects, they were not included in the transect data analyses.

Hydrilla management was successfully implemented during both the 2014-2015 SAV growing seasons, with no *Hydrilla* observed in any of the treated areas by the end of the season. Careful monitoring and scouting of the infested area, revealed one new patch, approximately $<2m^2$ in size, in late September in of the shallow coves in the Green Glade arm of the lake (location 39.4726° N/ 79.2659°W). The bed was then spot treated by DNR staff using the herbicide, Di-quat, in October 2015. *Hydrilla* control activity in 2016 will include one new management zone to incorporate the newly discovered patch in September 2015 (Figure 7). plant, *Najas minor*, was found in small amounts by DNR RAS and DCL NRMA staff independent of the shoreline and transect surveys, but was not positively confirmed by the state botanist as of the 2015 growing season.

The number of species documented within DCL waters

Figure 7. Hydrilla treatment zones

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

Deep Creek Lake, as a whole, continues to support a healthy population of SAV and the SAV in turn promotes a healthy lake. There are ten genera of vascular plants commonly observed in DCL and these include one species of *Potamogeton (P. amplifolious)* that is legally classified as endangered in Maryland and was thought to be completely extirpated from the state. There are also at least three species of non-native SAV *(Hydrilla verticillata, Potamogeton crispus M. spicatum)* that are considered aquatic invasive species. A fourth non-native

increased in recent years with at least 5 new species found in 2015. This is in part thought to be a function of the increased effort and staff that have been actively surveying all areas (both shallow and deep) of Deep Creek Lake throughout the growing season and not just during the transect and shoreline survey events. This increase in effort to survey more of the lake's waters also led to the identification of non-native *P. crispus* beds found in the Pawn Run area of DCL, as well as an additional small bed ($<2m^2$) of *H. verticillata* found in September 2015 (see Figure 8). This increase in effort has aided in early detection of invasive species and will hopefully allow the *Hydrilla* eradication/control effort

Figure 8: SAV easily visible from the surface and mapped during the 2015 Shoreline Survey, as well as newly discovered AIS locations.

effort initiated in 2014 to be more effective. With the increased level of effort, scientists have also observed morphological and possibly phenotypic differences in the species found in DCL compared to the same species found in the Chesapeake Bay. This suggests the possibility of genetic differences and the need for additional methods to positively identify plants to the species level. It is also necessary to determine if the physical differences in the appearance of the plants are due to environmental cues (water quality, temperature, clarity) or an artifact of genetic diversity, hybridization and/or if they are a different species or sub species altogether. In support of this effort, a collection of voucher specimens for each species of SAV found in DCL, which began in 2015, will continue as new species are discovered and/or changes in morphological characteristics are identified. This will assist with a more accurate identification of plant species observed and will allow comparisons to previous year's findings.

In general, the native SAV population in DCL is doing well throughout much of the lake. Aside from some shallow water areas, the water in Deep Creek Lake is clear and allows light to penetrate to impressive depths (up to 6m observed in the Fall of 2015), encouraging SAV and macroalgae to grow as deep as 5 meters on some transects. Because of varying light requirements of different species and other physical factors, species zonation was apparent at every site. Zonation is an inherent characteristic of any SAV bed in which a depth or sediment gradient is present, but could be particularly exaggerated in Deep Creek Lake as a direct result of the winter water level draw-down, which limits the shoreward expansion of canopy forming species. Sagittaria cristata, commonly known as Crested arrowhead, was observed at each site during most sampling events and was the dominant plant found throughout the lake during the transect survey (based on frequency of occurrence and abundance) but is probably under-represented in the shoreline survey as that survey has more of an emphasis on documenting deeper water, canopy forming species. Sagittaria cristata, which is short in stature and can withstand extensive periods of exposure during lake level draw down, was most prevalent along the shallow edges of the SAV beds.

Vallisneria americana was the second most dominant plant (based on frequency of occurrence) found lakewide during the 2015 transect survey and was mapped in greater amounts and distribution in the 2015 shoreline survey as well. The increase in the distribution and abundance of V. americana documented in the shoreline survey is likely a function of the plant expanding its distribution and abundance as well as a function of improvements made to the survey itself. Greater effort was made to document the presence and relative abundance of all canopy forming species, not just Myriophyllum species, as was the case when the survey began in 2012. Vallisneria americana is both a canopy and meadow forming species of SAV, meaning that it tends to grow laterally via rhizomes forming grass like meadows but also sends energy to its leaves to elongate towards the surface. As water levels drop in the late summer, early fall, beds of V. americana are more visible from the surface and thus beds are more easily detected and identified during the September shoreline survey. Vallisneria americana is great habitat for juvenile fish species as well as food for waterfowl. It also slows wave energy and stabilizes sediments.

The 3rd most frequently observed plant during the transect survey was Potamogeton pusillus, or slender pondweed. This plant tends to inhabit the deeper waters of Deep Creek Lake (3-6m range depending on water levels). Unrelated SCUBA surveys by DNR RAS scientists in 2015 in various regions of the lake found this plant growing on the deeper edge of SAV beds in varying amounts. The fact that it inhabits deeper water in DCL, combined with the fact that P. pusillus is a relatively thin and spindly plant compared to other plants in the genus like P. amplifolius, or broadleafed pondweed, suggests that it is likely under-reported in its distribution and density lake-wide in the shoreline survey. Detections using side scan sonar are more difficult and the morphology of the plant doesn't allow robust, dense beds to be easily visible from the surface. However, other plants in the genus to include P. amplifolious, P. epihydrus, and P. nodosus are much more easily visible in the shoreline survey and were all documented to be expanding in density and distribution throughout the lake in 2015. Potamogeton vaseyii, showed a reduction in distribution and abundance in both the shoreline survey and transect survey. Potamogeton vaseyii tends to be a plant that prefers shallower waters (0-1.5m deep) while the other pondweeds referenced previously (P. amplifolious, P. nodosus and P. epihydrus) are generally found in the deeper waters of DCL. The reason for the observed decline in shallow water species like P. vaseyii

Average SAV Abundance (% cover) at each Transect Site Across Years (2010-2015)

Figure 9. Trends across years (2010-2015) in SAV Relative Abundance at each site

and increase in deeper water plants like other *Potamogetons* and *V. americana* cannot be fully explained without additional water quality and habitat data but could suggest that wave energy or changes in water levels could be a factor with conditions proving more stable in the deeper waters of the lake. Competition with other natives in the shallows may also be a factor.

Other noticeable changes in species distribution and/or abundance during the 2015 surveys include observed increases in the native plant, *Elodea spp.*, and a decline in the Myriophyllum spp. (presumed to be the non-native M. spicatum), and increases in the native plant Potamogeton amplifolious. All three changes were observed in the shoreline survey and to a lesser extent the transect survey and suggest positive changes in the lake's water and habitat quality. Elodea spp. had been one of the more common plants observed throughout the lake from 2010-2012 but declined in 2013-2014. The increase in *Elodea spp.* density and distribution during the 2015 surveys suggests a possible "recovery" of the plant population in 2015 and may be indicative of better water quality and/or habitat conditions in the lake during 2015. In addition to the changes in *Elodea spp*. abundance and distribution, a noticeable decline in distribution and abundance of Myriophyllum species (see Table 5) were observed lake-wide in the both in the shoreline survey and transect survey. Because the decline in Myriophyllum species was observed throughout the lake in 2015, the decline could be an artifact of natural variability within the species population or could suggest it is being out-competed for habitat by other species, such Potamogeton amplifolius, which is a largestature plant that often grows to the water surface and is commonly found in the deeper regions of the lake's waters where Myriophyllum generally dominates. Potamogeton amplifolious was only recently observed and documented in the lake for the first time during the 2013 shoreline survey. In 2013, it was observed at several locations, showed an increase in distribution and density during the 2014 survey, and again during the 2015 shoreline survey. Field observations made during both the 2014 and 2015 surveys indicate that it is spreading and increasing in frequency of occurrence throughout the lake. The increases in distribution of Elodea spp. and P. amplifolious, and subsequent decline in Myriophyllum species are all viewed as positive changes for the lake habitat and water quality. As dense Elodea beds can effectively improve water clarity by anchoring the sediments and reducing the impacts of boat wakes on the resuspension of sediments. Potamogeton amplifolius was previously believed to be extirpated from Maryland waters, its expanding presence in the lake is welcome and suggests that it may be a strong large-bodied competitor for Myriophyllum spp. Potamogeton amplifolious

provides excellent habitat for fish and food for waterfowl and like other SAV species, can improve water clarity by removing nutrients and reducing wave energy and resuspension of sediments.

Although our survey results from 2010-2015 indicate that DCL, as a whole, continues to support a healthy and relatively diverse population of SAV, there have been noticeable and at times statistically significant changes observed. Elodea, once a common and dominant species that grew along the deeper and intermediate edges of SAV beds in the lake, was observed in 2015 at only three of the six original transect sites and at only one of the new sites, and in dramatically reduced densities and frequencies from the initial 2010 numbers (Table 3). While the 2015 shoreline survey showed a partial recovery lake-wide in Elodea species since 2014, the overall decline may be indicative of a larger habitat quality issue. Elodea was one of the dominant plants observed to become sparse over the 2010-2015 sampling period. Additional reductions in P. vaseyii (a plant which largely filled the niche of Elodea spp. when it declined) distribution and abundance were observed in 2014 and continued that declining trend in 2015. Potamogeton vaseyii had inhabited some of the same regions in the southern portions of the lake as *Elodea spp.*, particularly at DCC and GGC, suggesting that there may be a regional issue as to habitat quality in the southern portion of the lake as overall SAV density decreased significantly at DCC and additional decreasing trends over time were also observed at GGC over time (See Figure 9 and Figure 3). Given that lake-wide data indicated an overall increase in species richness, distribution, and abundance in 2015, the continued declining trend in SAV (abundance and frequency) in the southern portion of the lake, particularly at DCC, warrants even greater concern (See Figure 10 and Figure 11).

A comparison of 2010-2015 data also indicates that the dominant plant communities shifted again in 2015, with slight changes in species dominance at the various transect sites over time (see Table 4). The collective changes observed over the last 6 years suggest a spatial pattern developing that may be indicative of changing water quality and habitat conditions, particularly in the southern end of the lake. The far southern sites (DCC and GGC) have been largely dominated by macroalgae, whereas the middle portion of the lake sites (MMR, HHO and newly added PPC) are more diverse and dominated by V. americana, S. cristata, and codominated with either Myriophyllum species or macroalgae. The northern sites (RRC and McH) have shown a positive shift away from being dominated by macroalgae and more commonly and recently (2013-2015) dominated by SAV species such as V. americana and S. cristata/P. pusillus. It should be noted that the geomorphology and land use varies throughout the watershed with the northern section of the lake generally deeper and narrower with steeper sloping

shorelines compared to the southern end of the lake which is generally shallower and wider. The middle portion of the lake is somewhat intermediate and variable with regard to depth. With regards to land use, the northern portion of the lake is more residential with the southern portion of the lake dominated by agriculture. The western shore is heavily developed (Figure 1) and the eastern shore, alternatively, has a greater proportion of forested land, particularly around Deep Creek Lake State Park. It is not uncommon for watershed land use to positively or negatively affect an adjacent body of water (Landry, in prep) and thus could be responsible for the observed shifts in plant communities.

Other possible explanations for the observed changes in species richness and dominance (both frequency and abundance) could be due to annual changes in temperature, precipitation, or natural population variability. Additional hypotheses for the observed changes could include changes in early season (May-July) water levels throughout the growing season compared to previous years, changes in wave energy (larger, heavier ballast boats using shallower regions of the lake), increased boat use in the southern end of the lake, or changes in water quality or watershed land use as previously mentioned (more development or agricultural input) in the southern end of the lake. All are possible explanations for the observed changes and additional monitoring and observations may help determine the more likely cause for the changes. When available, current long-term State of Maryland Deep Creek Lake water quality monitoring data will be analyzed to identify possible causes for the SAV declines. It should be noted that SAV populations are dynamic with multiple stressors and as such it is quite possible that more than one explanation may be responsible for the observed changes.

While the transect surveys were able to indicate some changes in *Myriophyllum* species abundance and frequency, the shoreline surveys gave a more comprehensive look at its growth habits throughout the lake. In 2013, this nuisance plant (presumed *M. spicatum*) was present at varying densities in 29 acres of the lake and occupied less than 2% of available benthic space for vegetative growth. This number was down from 2012 when 130 patches were observed covering 86 acres. The reduction in *Myriophyllum* observations was most likely due to abnormally high lake levels, higher turbidity, and a very cool spring. In June, 2013, there was consequently less SAV, and because of the conditions, it

Total Species Richness across years at each Transect Site (2010-2015) From South end to North end of Deep Creek Lake, Maryland

Figure 11: Maximum Species Richess observed at each site over time (2010-2015) **Numbers on chart represent the average species richness for the year

was more difficult to locate. To control for a winter season affect in 2014 and 2015, the shoreline survey was conducted in late August/early September in both 2014 and 2015. This allowed RAS biologists to observe Myriophyllum species (and also start documenting other canopy forming species) during its peak biomass and greatest spatial extent throughout the lake. In September 2014, total Myriophyllum area equaled approximately 60 acres; this was less than the 86 acres observed in 2012, but the total number of observed patches increased to an all time high of 214. Of these 214 patches, only ten made up beds over one acre in area. These numbers indicate that as the growing season progresses, Myriophyllum can spread to more locations throughout the lake, increasing its distribution and abundance as well by occupying more space at the local level by growing into large beds or meadows, but less overall space via acreage. In 2015, not only were fewer Myriophyllum beds found (141 beds) throughout the lake but the size of those beds had contracted to only 29 acres of Myriophyllum, in Myriophyllum suggesting overall decline an distribution and bed size. Based on these observations, Myriophyllum is present throughout DCL and the populations seem to be stable or declining, particularly based on the 2014 and 2015 shoreline surveys. While it may be a cove-specific nuisance, RAS biologists do not advocate establishing a control program at this time. Further genetic studies, however, should be explored to unequivocally determine if the species observed in DCL is the non-native M. spicatum or the native M. sibiricum, or a hybrid of both. The species of Myriophyllum thought to be M. spicatum or M. sibricum has not been found flowering at DCL, possibly suggesting the population is one genetic clone and/or a sterile hybrid. Myriophyllum species will be continually monitored and recommendations for management will be updated as more information on species classification and population situations change. Additionally, due to the difficultly in distinguishing Myriophyllum to the species level without using genetics and/or the presence of fruits or seeds, any management targeted at the non-native M. spicatum might adversely impact the native Myriophyllum species. A pilot genetic study to explore the diversity of Myriophyllum genera within DCL that would clarify the relative abundance of native versus non-native Myriophyllum species found throughout the lake has been proposed and is addressed the in

recommendations section of this report.

Aquatic Invasive Species

Hydrilla verticillata, on the other hand, does pose a threat to the health and biodiversity of Deep Creek Lake. Hydrilla has a greater competitive capacity than Myriophyllum over most native species for a number of reasons. It has the ability to grow under low-light conditions, much like macroalgae. It needs only 1% of sunlight to grow, allowing it to thrive under the canopy of other plants as well as deeper than other plants. Its requirements allow low light it to start photosynthesizing earlier in the morning, capturing and diminishing CO₂ that would otherwise be available for its competitors (Langeland, 1996). In addition to CO2, Hydrilla can use bicarbonate as a carbon source when water column CO2 is unavailable (Salvucci and Bowes, 1983), increasing the alkalinity of the water as it does, making conditions inhospitable to most native species. Hydrilla also employs dispersal strategies that allow it start new beds far from parent beds. Like many SAV, Hydrilla uses vegetative fragmentation as a means of reproduction (Akers, 2010). When the plant is disturbed, in a manner which breaks it into multiple pieces, those pieces float away and are capable of rooting where they land and forming new plants. In addition vegetative fragmentation, to Hydrilla reproduces by seed, turions, and tubers. Turions are growth structures which break from the main stem of the plant at the end of the growing season to drift, and much like vegetative fragmentation, eventually sink and start a new plant. Tubers are reproductive structures that store nutrients and are used by plants to survive winter and drought conditions, to provide energy and nutrients for re-growth during the next growing season or when environmental conditions are more suitable. Tubers are what make Hydrilla so successful and difficult to fully eradicate. The monoecious strain, which is most likely the strain present in DCL, can form tubers quickly during short photoperiods (Spencer and Anderson, 1986). One tuber can lead to the production of several hundred others in the course of one growing season, and they can survive for four to seven years in the sediment before sprouting, even if no water is present for much of that time (Akers, 2010). With that said, Hydrilla is between 93 to 95 percent water, so it can create huge volumes of biomass with very few resources. As a result, it can grow very rapidly, doubling

its biomass every two weeks in summer conditions.

Fortunately, RAS biologists discovered Hydrilla very early in its infestation of DCL, a fact that underscores the importance of routine monitoring in any aquatic environment. Given that the invasion was relatively recent and populations of Hydrilla were small, control options where more viable than for Myriophyllum. The management program (see Appendix D) that was rapidly designed and implemented first during the summer 2014 season and again in 2015 was highly successful with no living Hydrilla observed in the treatment areas at the end each of the growing seasons. While one small bed (<2m²) of Hydrilla was discovered in September 2015 outside the treatment area, the bed was marked, treated in Fall 2015 with an herbicide, and will be included in the 2016 Hydrilla control/eradication program. Frequent scouting of the affected area and nearby areas, combined with the comprehensive shoreline surveys will continue to make it possible to quickly identify and treat new patches. Because the prevailing science suggests that a multi-year effort is required to achieve control in a water body, management will continue for several years pending funding and need.

Potamogeton crispus, another invasive aquatic plant, was also found in DCL during the 2015 SAV growing DNR RAS biologists first saw a floating season. fragment of P. crispus near the State Park (MMR) site in June 2015 but a survey of the cove and surrounding areas in June-July could not confirm the fragment was from a plant growing in the vicinity. Deep Creek Lake NRMA staff later discovered several beds of P. crispus growing in the Pawn Run area of the lake in July 2015. Shortly thereafter, DNR RAS biologists confirmed the find and together DNR RAS and DCL NRMA staff identified and recorded the location of all P. crispus beds in the Pawn Run area. It was determined that a selective hand-removal would be conducted on the majority of P. crispus beds. Several small beds were allowed to persist into September to track the plant's life cycle and timing of turion production (as that is the primary method of expansion for the plant) (Xie et. al 2015). The plants observed in DCL from July-September 2015 did not follow the life cycle suggested by published literature, which suggests plants senesce in June after turion production and reappear in November, persisting through ice cover. In DCL, plants remained green and

vibrant through August, not taking on the reddishbrown coloration often observed before turion production and subsequent senescence. Plants were checked weekly for turion production. They did not produce turions until late August (Aug 21st), at which point plants were still green and vibrant. As lake water depths dropped quickly over the next month, the plants quickly disappeared with no remaining plants visible at the end of September through December. Ice conditions in the cove did not allow for a safe survey of the affected area from January-March but when the ice melted, the affected area was checked at the end of March 2016. No visible P. crispus beds were observed, nor were any other SAV species. A more thorough monitoring of the plant's life cycle is planned for the 2016 SAV growing season.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Survey results from 2010-2015 indicate that DCL, as a whole, continues to support a healthy and relatively diverse population of SAV. It is essential that efforts continue to promote the healthy growth of native SAV and protect water quality and nearshore habitat for these communities. Based on the results and conclusions of this study, several recommendations are offered in an effort to improve the accuracy and efficacy of the transect and shoreline survey and provide necessary information to the DCL NRMA Lake Manager and staff to allow for proper and sustainable management of Deep Creek Lake.

The first recommendation has already begun in 2015 and concerns efforts to more accurately document and identify species of SAV found in DCL. Due in part to the diversity of SAV found in Deep Creek Lake and the difficulty identifying and differentiating some species from other morphologically similar species in the genus, it is strongly recommended that multiple voucher specimens be collected, dried, identified, pressed, and mounted for future reference. This would allow for more precise future identification of species with morphological and seasonal variations.

Secondly, it is recommended that as genetic analysis becomes more cost effective, this level of precise identification should be considered for the AIS present in the lake, as well as for other plants of special

management interest, such as P. amplifolius and Myriophyllum species. difficulty Given the in differentiating the Myriophyllum species, especially between the native M. sibricum and the invasive M. spicatum, and the fact that these species often co-occur in a water body (Moody and Les 2007, Tavalire et al. 2012), it is recommended that a genetic analysis be conducted of a subset of the Myriophylllum species found in DCL. This would allow an accurate species identification, an estimate of relative abundance of each species within the lake, and if there is any hybridization occurring among the Myriophyllum species present. Genetic analysis of DCL AIS will increase the accuracy and repeatability of the shoreline survey and species list information for DCL and may also be useful to differentiate among other morphologically similar species such as E. canadensis and E. nutallii, As neither species of Elodea is a plant of special management interest, however, this is not a priority.

The third recommendation is to increase the nearshore water quality and habitat quality monitoring that is done throughout the lake with a particular emphasis on the southern arm of the lake (specifically the areas near DCC and GGC and possibly as far north as the newly added HCC transect area). Based on 2010-2015 transect and shoreline survey data, SAV species in the DCC area were shown to have significantly declined in diversity, frequency of occurrence, and abundance over the observed time period. The southern legs of the lake are also within the total area that is affected by the Hydrilla herbicide treatment. While the declining trends in SAV were observed prior to the initiation of the herbicide treatment in 2014, a more spatially intensive monitoring plan (both SAV and water/habitat quality) should be explored in an effort to better understand possible causes of the decline. An increase in the spatial and temporal resolution of water quality data within the lake will also improve the ability to compare SAV data to water quality data each year and better understand observed changes. It is recommended that when resources and data allow, it would be beneficial to compare the species specific and total changes in SAV distribution and abundance at DCL to other nearby lakes to determine if species and community changes are a function of local conditions in Deep Creek Lake changing or a more regional shift due to weather/ climate variability.

The final recommendation concerns the status of aquatic invasive plants in the lake. Tracking of the newly found P. crispus community in Pawn Run should continue with an increased effort to determine if those plants are spreading throughout the lake and to determine the effectiveness of the hand-removal method that was used in 2015 as a possible method of control. It is recommended that extra emphasis be placed on developing and implementing a more detailed water and habitat quality monitoring in the Pawn Run area while simultaneously documenting changes in the P. crispus morphology and life cycle so as to better understand how this plant is predicted to function and respond to changing conditions in DCL. Pending results of additional P. crispus monitoring and, should resources allow for a genetic analysis of the Myriophyllum species found within the lake, results should be incorporated into any AIS management plans drafted or DCL.

Pending no further introductions of Hydrilla to DCL, it is expected that the management plan for Hydrilla will continue to be successful at controlling, if not eradicating, Hydrilla. Intensive monitoring for early detection and spread of Hydrilla should continue similar to what was done in 2014 and 2015 with more intensive monitoring in and around the areas already affected. One of the best ways to prevent further expansion of non-native AIS in DCL is to prevent any further introductions, monitor current populations, and boat responsibly in areas where they are growing. It is recommended that the AIS educational effort initiated in 2013 continue and expand as funding and resources allow, in an effort to better communicate the importance of preventing new introductions of all AIS to the lake and minimizing the spread of currently found AIS within the lake.

In summary, the current and recommended SAV and water quality monitoring discussed in this report should continue and evolve in accordance with new findings and improvements to the current science related to these efforts. Cumulatively, this will serve as a management tool for DCL NRMA staff and provide a scientific foundation upon which management decisions can effectively be made and implemented.

REFERENCES

Akers, P. 2010. The California Department of Food and Agriculture *Hydrilla* Eradication Program Annual Progress Report 2010.

Jacobs, J., and J. Margold. 2009. Plant Guide for Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum* L.). USDA – Natural Resources Conservation Service, Montana State Office, Bozeman, MT 59715.

Kelsey, R.H., and S.L. Powell, 2011. Deep Creek Lake Baseline Assessment Report.

Landry, J.B., R. Golden, L. Karrh, and M. Lewandowski. In Prep. Local-scale effects of shoreline hardening and watershed land use on submerged aquatic vegetation in the Chesapeake and Coastal Bays, Maryland, USA. Estuaries and Coasts.

Langeland, K.A. 1996. *Hydrilla verticillata* (L.F.) Royle (Hydrocharitaceae), "The Perfect Aquatic Weed". Castanea 61:293-304.

Moody ML, Les DH. 2007. Geographic distribution and genotypic composition of invasive hybrid watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum x M. sibiricum*) populations in North America. Biol Invasions. 9:559-570

The *Hydrilla* Taskforce of the Cayuga Lake Watershed. 2013. Cayuga Inlet *Hydrilla* Management Plan. <u>www.stophydrilla.org</u>

Rybicki, Nancy, J.D. Kirshtein and M. A. Voytek. 2015. Molecular techniques to distinguish morphologically similar Hydrilla *verticillata, Egeria densa, Elodea nuttallii,* and *Elodea canadensis*. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management Vol. 51:94–102

Salvucci, M. E. and G. Bowes. 1983. Two photosynthetic mechanisms mediating thelow photores- piratory state in submersed aquatic angiosperms. Plant Physiology 73:488-96.

Spencer, D. F. and L. W. J. Anderson. 1986. Photoperiod responses in monoecious and dioecious *Hydrilla verticillata*. Weed Science 34:551-557.

Tavalire, H. F., Bubee, G. E., LaRue, E. A., Thum, R. A. 2012. Hybridization, cryptic diversity, and invasiveness in introduced variable-leaf watermilfoil. Evol. Appl. 5:892-900.

Xie, D., Zhou, H., Zhu, H., Ji, H., Li, N., & An, S. 2015. Differences in the regeneration traits of *Potamogeton crispus* turions from macrophyte- and phytoplankton-dominated lakes. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 12907.

Zuellig, Matthew P. and Ryan A. Thum. 2012. Multiple introductions of invasive Eurasian watermilfoil and recurrent hybridization with northern watermilfoil in North America. Journal of Aquatic Plant Management 50: 1-19.

APPENDIX A

Deep Creek Lake

Submerged Aquatic Vegetation and Macroalgae

Plant Guide

This appendix provides drawings, pictures, distribution maps, and a brief description of each species of submerged aquatic vegetation observed in Deep Creek Lake during the summers 2010 - 2014 SAV surveys.

Sagittaria cristata (Crested arrowhead)

Monocot, Perennial, Native to the continental US and Canada. Distribution includes IA, IL, MI, MN, NE, and WI. It has not been previously documented in MD according to the USDA Plant Database (http://plants.usda.gov/java).

Crested arrowhead grows along the margins and bottoms of shallow lakes, ponds, and swamps. It may grow up to 75 cm tall, though in DCL it hasn't been observed more than 10 cm high. Flowering occurs July through August.

Vallisneria americana (Wild celery)

Monocot, Perennial, Native to continental US and Canada. Distribution in all but seven states and most of Canada.

Wild celery is primarily a freshwater species, although it is occasionally found in brackish waters (up to 12-15 ppt). Wild celery seems to prefer coarse silty to sandy soil, and is fairly tolerant of murky waters and high nutrient loading. It can tolerate wave action better than some other grass species.

Elodea canadensis (Canadian waterweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada, but considered Invasive in Puerto Rico. Distributed in all but three continental US states: TX, LA, and GA.

This waterweed is primarily a freshwater species. It prefers loamy soil and slowmoving water with high nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations. It will grow in a wide range of conditions, from very shallow to deep water, and in many sediment types. It can even continue to grow unrooted, as floating fragments.

Ceratophyllum demersum (Coontail)

Dicot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Alaska, Canada, Puerto Rico, and the US Virgin Islands. Invasive in Hawaii. Distribution is ubiquitous throughout the US.

Coontail's leaves grow in crowded whorls which make it resemble a raccoon's tail underwater. Each leaf is forked into segments with fine teeth on one side of the leaf margin. Leaves are brittle and keep their shape out of water. Coontail may float in dense mats beneath the surface and its base is only occasionally attached to the sediment. It may also be found near the bottom in deep water – in creek channels, for example.

Myriophyllum spicatum (Eurasian water milfoil)

Dicot, Perennial. Invasive to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada. Native to Europe, Asia, and northern Africa. Invasive distribution throughout the US.

This plant has a long stem that branches profusely when it reaches the surface of the water. Leaves are finely divided and feather-like in appearance. There are usually 12 to 21 pairs of leaflets.

Eurasian watermilfoil can grow in ponds, lakes, reservoirs, and slow flowing rivers and streams. It will grow in shallow or deep water, fresh or brackish water, and within a wide temperature range. It tends to do well in waters that have had some sort of disturbance like intense plant management, overabundance of nutrients, or extensive motorboat use.

Dispersal through vegetative means is Eurasian watermilfoil's main reproductive strategy. The plant goes through autofragmentation during the growing season, where roots develop at the nodes, then fragments float away and establish elsewhere.

Myriophyllum sibiricum (Northern water milfoil)

Dicot, Perennial. Native to the continental US, Alaska, Canada, and elsewhere. Distribution throughout Canada and the US with the exception of southeastern states from TX east to FL.

This plant is distinguished from the Eurasian water milfoil by its less finely divided leaves and larger floral bracts. It typically has 5-10 thread-like segments on each side of the midrib whereas Eurasian water milfoil has 12-24 segments. It is found in shallow to deep water of lakes, ponds, marshes, where its presence significantly increases the abundance of macroinvertebrates, although the value of milfoil is likely due more to its value as habitat than as food.

Myriophyllum heterophyllum (Two-leafed water milfoil)

Dicot, Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada with distribution throughout the eastern US and Canada.

Two-leafed water milfoil has fine densely packed, featherlike leaves whorled around a main stem. It can grow up to 15 feet and may exhibit a three to six inch green spike-like flower above the waterline in late June or in July. A cross-section of the stem will reveal "pie-shaped" air chambers.

Potamogeton robbinsii (Robbin's pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada. Distribution limited to ~ half US states and most of Canada.

This pondweed is found in deep to shallow, often muddy waters of lakes, ponds, and rivers. It is the only *Potamogeton* that has branching inflorescences, though it rarely flowers. This plant is believed extirpated from Maryland and is threatened or endangered in several of its native states.

Potamogeton pusillus (Slender pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada. Distributed throughout native range.

Slender pondweed grows in soft, fertile mud substrates and quiet to gently flowing water. Leaf blades of slender pondweed are entire and have pointed tips and can have a purplish tint. Like all other pondweeds, slender pondweed is considered an important food for waterfowl.

Potamogeton diversifolius (Waterthread pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and distributed throughout with the exception of far northeast.

This pondweed produces a very narrow, compressed stem branching to around 35 cm. It has thin, pointed linear leaves a few cm long spirally arranged about the thin stem. Flowers emerge from the water surface.

Potamogeton vaseyi (Vasey's pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada. Distribution limited to the northeastern US and eastern Canada.

Not previously documented in Maryland, Vasey's pondweed is considered threatened, endangered, or of special concern where found in northeastern US states. It grows in quiet waters and has dimorphic leaves: very narrow, flaccid, submersed leaves and wider, thicker floating leaves.

Potamogeton spirillus (Spiral pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada, but distributed only throughout the northeast US and northern mid-west, and eastern Canada.

Spiral pondweed usually grows in shallow water: lakes, ponds, wet swales, and rarely quiet river borders. The submersed leaves are often curved, giving the whole bushy plant the aspect of a broad-leaved *Najas*.

Potamogeton amplifolius (Largeleaf pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada.

Potamogeton amplifolius grows in lakes, ponds, and rivers, often in clear deep water. Grows from rhizomes, seed, or fragmentation and produces a very slender, cylindrical, sometimes spotted stem up to a meter + long. Alternate leaves take two forms: Submersed leaves are up to 20 centimeters long by 7 wide folded along midrib with a curling appearance. Floating leaves are up to 10 centimeters long by 5 wide, leathery in texture, and grow on long petioles. The inflorescence is a spike of many flowers rising above the water surface on a thick peduncle.

Potamogeton nodosus (Longleaf pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada, Puerto Rico, and Hawaii.

Longleaf pondweed can be found in ponds, lakes, ditches, and streams. It produces a thin, branching stem easily exceeding a meter in maximum length. Leaves are linear to widely lance-shaped and up to 15 centimeters long by 4 wide. Both floating leaves and submerged leaves are borne on long petioles. The inflorescence is a spike of many small flowers arising from the water on a peduncle.

Najas flexilis (Slender or nodding naiad)

Monocot. Annual. Native to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada. Found in most northern states and Canada.

Naiads grow in small freshwater streams. They prefer sandy substrates and tolerate relatively low light. Naiads vary in size from inch-high tufts on sandy bottoms to highly branched plants two or three feet high. *Najas flexilis* is considered to be excellent food sources for waterfowl.

Najas guadalupensis (Southern naiad)

Monocot. Annual. Native to the continental US, Puerto Rico, and Canada. Invasive to Hawaii. Distributed throughout US.

This plant grows in ponds, ditches, and streams. It produces a slender, branching stem up to 60 to 90 centimeters in maximum length. The thin, somewhat transparent, flexible leaves are up to 3 cm long and just 1-2 mm wide. They are edged with minute, unicellular teeth. Tiny flowers occur in the leaf axils; staminate flowers grow toward the end of the plant and pistillate closer to the base

Hydrilla verticillata (Waterthyme)

Monocot. Perennial. Invasive in the continental US.

Hydrilla may be found in all types of water bodies. Its stems are slender, branched and up to 25 feet long. *Hydrilla's* small leaves are strap-like and pointed and grow in whorls of four to eight around the stem. Leaf margins are distinctly saw-toothed. *Hydrilla* produces tiny white flowers on long stalks, as well as 1/4 inch turions at the leaf axils and tubers attached to the roots. Reproduction is mainly fragmentation but also by growth of turions and tubers; which remain viable for several years.

Utricularia vulgaris (Common bladderwort)

Dicot. Perennial. Native to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada.

Several species of bladderwort occur in the Chesapeake Bay region, primarily in the quiet freshwater of ponds and ditches. They can also be found on moist soils associated with wetlands. Bladderworts are considered carnivorous because minute animals can be trapped and digested in the bladders that occur on the underwater leaves.

Isoetes spp. (Quillwort)

Lycopod. Perennial. Native to the continental US, Alaska, and Canada. Distributed throughout.

Quillwort leaves are hollow. Each leaf is narrow (2–20 cm long and 0.5–3 mm wide). They broaden to a swollen base up to 5 mm wide where they attach in clusters to a bulb-like, underground rhizome. This base also contains male and female sporangia, protected by a thin velum. Quillwort species are very difficult to distinguish by general appearance.

Chara vulgaris (Chara, Common stonewort) Macroalgae

Chara is a green alga belonging to the Charales, a lineage that may have given rise to all land plants. The stoneworts are a very distinctive group of green algae that are sometimes treated as a separate division (the Charophyta). These algae can occur in fresh or brackish waters, and they have cell walls that contain large concentrations of calcium carbonate. Charophytes have relatively complex growth forms, with whorls of "branches" developing at their tissue nodes. Charophytes are also the only algae that develop multicellular sex organs.

Nitella flexilis (Nitella, Smooth stonewort) Macroalgae

Nitella flexilis is closely related to *Chara vulgaris* in the Stonewort family, a group of complex algae that superficially resemble vascular plants more than they do other groups of algae. *Nitella* is a green, freshwater algae; a robust species growing up to a meter long with axes up to 1mm wide. Branches in whorls once or twice divided.

Vascular plant drawings, except *Hydrilla*, were obtained from Britton and Brown (1913) via the USDA Plant Database. USDA-NRCS PLANTS Database / Britton, N.L., and A. Brown. 1913. *An illustrated flora of the northern United States, Canada and the British Possessions. 3 vols. Charles Scribner's Sons, New York.*

Drawings of *Hydrilla verticillata, Chara vulgaris,* and *Nitella flexilis* are credited to IFAS Center for Aquatic Plants, University of Florida, Gainesville, 1990.

Distribution maps were obtained from the USDA Plant Database.

USDA, NRCS. 2011. The PLANTS Database (<u>http://plants.usda.gov</u>, 10 November 2011). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.

Images were obtained from the following:

Sagittaria cristata: www.uwgb.edu Vallisneria Americana: www.dnr.state.md.us Elodea Canadensis: www.dnr.state.md.us Ceratophyllum demersum: www.dnr.state.md.us Myriophyllum spicatum: <u>www.dnr.state.md.us</u> Myriophyllum sibiricum: www.mainevolunteerlakemonitors.org Myriophyllum heterophyllum: www.missouriplants.com Potamogeton robbinsii: www.yankee-lake.org Potamogeton pusillus: http://flora.nhm-wien.ac.at Potamogeton diversifolius: www.dcnr.state.al.us Potamogeton vaseyi: www.botany.wisc.edu Potamogeton spirillus: <u>www.uwgb.edu/</u> Potamogeton amplifolius: www.plants.usda.gov Potamogeton nodosus: www.apatita.com Hydrilla verticillata: <u>www.dnr.state.md.us</u> Najas flexilis: www.vilaslandandwater.org Najas guadalupensis: <u>www.aquahobby.com</u> Utricularia vulgaris: www.dnr.state.md.us/bay/sav/key Isoetes spp.: www.nybg.org Chara vulgaris: <u>www.biolib.cz</u>

Nitella flexilis: www.diszhal.info

2015 Additions to Deep Creek Lake plant list

Elodea nutallii (Western waterweed or Nuttall's waterweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to North America; this plant is commonly found growing submersed in lakes, rivers, and other shallow water bodies and can easily be confused with common waterweed or *Elodea canadensis* however *E. nutallii* has thinner leaves which come to an acute point. It is not native to Europe but commonly found there; most likely introduced there as an aquarium plant

Ceratophyllum echinatum (Spineless hornwort)

Dicot. Perennial. Found in ponds and lakes generally in eastern North America. The only species of its genus endemic to North America. Listed as endangered in Maryland and commonly called prickley hornwort.

Like coontail, the spineless hornwort usually does not have any roots with stems that are freely branching (0.3-4.0 m long). The leaves are submerged and they are usually in whorls of 5 to 12. The flower is tiny, could be male or female, and blooms from February to July. The fruits have dry seeds with a lot of spines and a rough surface.

Myriophyllum humile (low water milfoil)

Dicot, Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada. Often found in still or slow-moving, waters of lakes, rivers, pools, and pond shorelines. Commonly found on shorelines of receding waters. *Myriophyllum humile* is extremely variable and shows different morphologies depending on water level. Stems generally become longer and branches bear more and finer segments as water depth increases, with terrestrial forms appearing strikingly different from aquatic forms. Can be confused with the invasive *M. spicatum*.

In Maryland, this plant was recently documented in Deep Creek Lake and has also been found in some of the western Maryland lakes; typically in the shallow portions of the lake's shorelines. It has also been documented on the eastern shore of Maryland.

Najas gracillima (slender waternymph or thread leafed naiad)

Monocot. Annual. Native to the US, but listed as endangered or extirpated in Maryland. This plant is found often growing in sandy or gravelly soils in lakes and rivers more common to the Northeastern states and eastern midwestern states. In Minnesota it is found in less than 15% of lakes statewide and it is thought to be less common perhaps due to declining water quality and generally prefers shallow (less than 1m water) depths and low wave energy. Shoreline development or agriculture are thought to impact it's distribution. It is thought to be rare in Maryland but may be found in the shallow portions of the western Maryland lakes to include Deep Creek.

It is a submerged aquatic plant with leaves between 6mm-28mm long and leaf margins are minutely serrulate with 13-17 teeth per side with a midvein. There are both male and female flowers on the same plant and light brown seeds in fusiform shape. The see is not recurved and the surface is dull and pitted.

Potamogeton epihydrus (Ribbonleaf pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Native to the continental US and Canada.

Ribbonleaf pondweed has stems that rarely grow more than 1m in length with two types of leaves, both submerged and floating. The submerged leaves are 5-25cm long and up to 1cm wide, can be translucent, linear in shape and ribbon-like, red-brown to light green in color with a blunt to acute tip. The floating leaves are similar to other Potamogeton leaves, opaque and up to 8cm long and 3cm wide. The inflorescence is a small spike of flowers that emerged from the water. This species can hybridize with other Potamogetons, notably *P. nodosus* and *P. gramineus*.

Ribbonleaf pondweed is a temperate to boreal species plant, more common in the northern part of the US and southern Canada. It grows well in lakes and shallow, slow flowing waters and may be negatively impacted by acidic waters.

Sand of Stand

Anil Mi.

Potamogeton crispus (Curly pondweed)

Monocot. Perennial. Non-Native/Invasive to the continental US and Canada.

Curly pondweed is a non-native invasive plant that can grow up to 5m in length. There are no floating leaves, only submerged leaves and they are wavy, arranged alternately along the stem and have minuet teeth along the leaf margin. The leaves are 4-10cm long and up to 5-10mm wide and olive green to reddish brown in color with a slightly visible mid-vein.

In the Chesapeake Bay, *P. crispus* has two distinct growth periods, it generally is one of the first species that comes up in the spring, usually dies back in the summer and shows another regrowth in the fall. It's unknown if the variety of *P. crispus* in DCL is that of the Bay or elsewhere. In the colder regions of its range, *P. crispus* produces turions, its primary means of reproduction, in July after the plant flowers and fruits in June. After turion production, usually in mid-July throughout most areas of its range, the plant undergos dormancy in the fall, as waters cool again, the turions sprout and *P. crispus* survives the winter as whole, intact leafy plants (even under thick ice and snow cover). The plant then grows rapidly in early spring when water temperatures are still quite cool $(10-15^{\circ}C)$.

Based on limited observations of the newly found population at DCL, plants don't seem to adhere to either of the above descriptions of life cycle. Plants were found in July 2015 and tracked throughout the year. Plants looked green and vibrant throughout the summer months and turion production happened in late August. The plants did not senesce until late September when water level dropped and were not found overwinter, nor in March after ice off.

APPENDIX B

Deep Creek Lake

Color Coded Transects

APPENDIX C

Deep Creek Lake

Comprehensive Shoreline Survey

Myriophyllum Distribution

2014-2015

APPENDIX D

Deep Creek Lake

Hydrilla Management Plan and Report of Control Activity

2015

Prepared by Mark Lewandowski

illustration provided by: IFAS, Center for Aquatic Plants University of Florida, Gainesville, 1990

Background

Hydrilla verticillata is a listed noxious weed (Federal Noxious Weed Act -- Public Law 93-629 (7 U.S.C. 2801 et seq.; 88 Stat. 2148). A noxious weed is defined as any plant designated by a Federal, State, or county government as injurious to public health, agriculture, recreation, wildlife or property. Hydrilla is a rooted submersed perennial monocot, native to Asia (Haller, 2009). There is only one species of Hydrilla identified, but two biotypes have invaded the United States. The dioecious biotype (separate male and female plants) is found south of Virginia and was introduced in the 1950s. The monoecious biotype (male and female reproductive structures on the same plant), found in North Carolina and above, was introduced in the 1970s. North Carolina is the only known state where the two biotypes overlap in range.

Hydrilla is infamous for its rapid growth (up to 1" per day) and ability to "top out" and form dense mats of vegetation at the water surface. Hydrilla thrives in lower light and deeper conditions than native plants, and the dense mats it forms can shade out native species of submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV). Hydrilla can spread rapidly via fragmentation and it has a very effective overwintering strategy (prolific tuber production). Due to how densely it grows, Hydrilla can not only alter ecosystem functions in a body of water, but also make navigation and recreation difficult. There are economic concerns as well. Aside from the cost of management and control (for example, Florida spends approximately \$15 million per year on Hydrilla control (Haller, 2009)), there is also the potential for lowered waterfront property values due to the reduced recreational opportunities and unsightly nature of a "topped out" Hydrilla bed. Water-dependent industries, such as tourism, hydroelectric power, and businesses dependent on water withdrawal, are also affected.

During routine SAV transect monitoring on September 27th, 2013, a Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Resource Assessment Service (RAS) biologist observed floating fragments of Hydrilla verticillata in Deep Creek Lake (DCL), Garrett County, Maryland. While Hydrilla is common in other waters in Maryland, this was the first reported sighting in DCL by DNR staff. The State of Maryland Rapid Response Planning for Aquatic Invasive Species plan (Figure 1) was immediately initiated. A survey of the entire lake shoreline was undertaken over the course of several days and finished on October 22nd, 2013. During the survey, Hydrilla was found and mapped in 14 locations; all contained in the southwestern leg of the lake, known locally as Deep Creek Cove (Figure 2). Patches ranged in size from 1m² to roughly 5 acres, totaling an estimated 6.5 acres. Specimen samples were collected and taken to an outside expert (Nancy Rybicki, USGS) for positive identification and determination of the biotype (monoecious).

Figure 1. Diagram of the Rapid Response Planning for Aquatic Invasive Species

Figure 2. *Hydrilla* patches identified by DNR biologists, October 2013.

In response to this discovery, RAS biologists commenced a thorough literature review of Hydrilla biology and management/control options and convened an expert panel (Table 1) to aid in development of the Deep Creek Lake Hydrilla Management Plan. In consultation with lake management, the defined goal of the management plan was to contain Hydrilla populations, reduce the standing biomass as low as is technically and financially feasible, and prevent Hydrilla from becoming a nuisance in the lake. Several management techniques were considered, including several forms of mechanical/physical control, biological control, and chemical control. Ultimately it was determined that chemical control using selective herbicides that have minimal impact to other SAV and/or aquatic

Table 1. List of expert panel members and affiliations

Name	Affiliation
Dr. Mike Netherland	University of Florida/US Army Corps of Engineers
Dr. Lynn Gettys	University of Florida
Dr. John Madsen	Mississippi State University
Mr. James Balyszak	Cornell University Extension
Dr. Nancy Rybicki	United States Geological Survey
Mr. Mark Lewandowski	Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Dr. Robert Richardson	North Carolina State University

resources offered the greatest chance of success.

Herbicidae control is the most common form of nuisance aquatic plant management to reduce or eliminate populations. Herbicides approved for aquatic use are some of the most intensively studied production chemicals and have undergone extensive review before being registered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as an aquatic herbicide. Additionally, the use of herbicides in aquatic systems has a long history of research and proven results.

For the purposes of managing Hydrilla in Deep Creek Lake, it was determined that a two-pronged herbicide application approach would be used. The first step, a "block treatment," was designed to treat large volumes of the infested cove with a systemic herbicide as soon as Hydrilla emerged from its overwintering tubers in the spring. Systemic herbicides are compounds that are taken up by the plant and then move throughout the plant's tissues, killing it and preventing spring establishment (Netherland, 2009). Several months following the block treatment, the second step, a "spot treatment," would then be implemented. Spot treatments address any Hydrilla patches that survive the systemic herbicides. Surviving patches would be dosed with contact herbicides which only affect plant tissues in direct contact with the compound (Netherland, 2009).

The consensus of the expert panel was to use a formulation of fluridone for the systemic block treatments (http://ccetompkins.org/environment/ invasive-species/fluridone-herbide-treatment-faq), and diquat and flumioxazin for the spot treatments. *Hydrilla* is very susceptible to fluridone at low concentrations (5-10 ppb), while native plants are less so. The disadvantage is that a long contact time (45 days) is necessary for adequate control; consequently "bump" applications are needed to keep concentrations at the required level. With that, however, the plants die and decompose slowly, reducing the risk of dissolved oxygen sags that might cause fish kills.

Diquat (trade name RewardTM) is a non-volatile contact herbicide that rapidly controls aquatic weeds by interfering with photosynthesis (RewardTM Herbicide label, 2010). Flumioxazin (Trade name ClipperTM) is a broad spectrum herbicide that also controls aquatic weeds by interfering with photosynthesis by inhibiting protoporphyrinogen oxidase, an essential enzyme required by plants for chlorophyll biosynthesis. ClipperTM is fast acting, can be applied subsurface and is most effective when applied to young, emergent plants (ClipperTM label, 2011).

Education and Outreach Prior to Treatment

While controlling the existing biomass and preventing the in-lake spread of Hydrilla was of primary concern, it was equally important to prevent further invasion. The expert panel together with RAS biologists and managers came to the consensus that investment of resources was best spent on simple vessel cleaning stations, outreach staff ("Launch Stewards"), and educational materials. An extensive campaign to educate stakeholders on the risks associated with invasive species introductions and what they could do to minimize spread of these species was consequently implemented. Prior to the spring 2014 treatment, DNR provided all of the affected residents with information about the Hydrilla infestation in Deep Creek Cove, instructions for closures and water use, and literature regarding the herbicides. Signs were posted at all lake launches to educate boaters on the proper way to clean their vessels to avoid invasive species introductions. The Maryland Park Service (MPS) hired seasonal Launch Stewards to conduct voluntary vessel inspections at the State Park boat launch and provide educational materials to boaters. The DNR Communications Office developed an instructional video on how to properly clean your vessel and avoid aquatic introductions, which was posted on DNR's website and linked to The Friends of DCL website.

While conducting vessel inspections, the Launch Stewards recorded any SAV found as well as data regarding the type of boat entering the lake, the state where it was registered, where the vessel had last been launched and where it was most com-

Figure 3. 2014 Hydrilla management zones.

monly used. In 2014, two launch stewards inspected 1,066 vessels between June 3rd and September 23rd. Of the boats inspected, only 23 vessels (2.2%) were carrying potential AIS. The vegetation was mostly found on the hull, trailer bunks, and propellers. There was no correlation between the presence of vegetation and the type of vessel and the most common SAV species found were wild celery (Vallisneria and several types of pondweeds americana) (Potamogeton). In 2015, five stewards worked the launch between 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM, working seven days a week between Memorial Day and Labor Day. With an increase in coverage, the 2015 steward program inspected 2,256 vessels, with 41(1.8%) found to have vegetation on them. Again, there was no correlation between presence of vegetation and vessel type and all of the species found were native to MD. Most of these vessels use Deep Creek Lake and other local lakes and rivers in Maryland, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, but some were from as far away as Utah, Florida, and Connecticut. This highlights how simple it is to transport invasive species over state lines and introduce them to new ecosystems if precautions aren't taken.

Preliminary Study

To determine lake energy and water flow characteristics in DCL prior to treatment, a hydrological tracer study was conducted between April 28th and May 3rd, 2014. Rhodamine WT dye pellets were used to most closely mimic the pelletized Sonar® that would be used during *Hydrilla* control. Rhodamine pellets were placed in two coves in the southwestern leg of the lake and monitored for dissolution over the course of four days. It was determined that DCL is a very low energy environment with predominantly wind-driven water flow and particularly long residence times in the coves.

2014 Results

The fourteen Hydrilla patches observed in 2013 were divided into eight management zones that ranged in size from five to 29 acres (total of 93.5 acres) (Figure 3). Because of the long residence time in the coves where Hydrilla was observed, it was determined that five low-dose Sonar® applications would be necessary to maintain adequate concentrations throughout the SAV growing season. Herbicide application took place within each management zone every three weeks between June and September (June 11th, July 1st, July 21st, August 13th and September 3rd). This approach controlled for any late-germinating tubers and prevented any additional tuber development during the 2014 season. FasTEST® samples for herbicide monitoring were collected on a weekly or biweekly basis to document and adjust dosage if necessary.

Routine surveys of each management zone were conducted on a monthly basis to confirm Sonar® efficacy and monitor conditions. Starting in July, when SAV in DCL is nearing its peak biomass, broader scouting was conducted to detect possible new areas of infestation. Four new patches were detected: two in early August while scouting and two in mid-September during the comprehensive shoreline survey. One of these patches was in the previously infested Deep Creek Cove. The other three patches were found in Green Glade Cove, the southeastern leg of the lake (Figure 4). Licensed applicators from DNR Fisheries Service treated these patches with the contact herbicides Reward® and Clipper®.

At the conclusion of the 2014 summer season, no *Hydrilla* was observed in any of the management zones. Some *Hydrilla* plant material was still observed, however, in the four newer infestation areas due to the short window for successful treatment. These areas were included in the management plan for 2015, with positive control of these areas expected.

2015 Results

The DNR Resource Assessment Service built on the success of the 2014 Management Plan and continue with the herbicidal treatment of Hydrilla in DCL in 2015. RAS implemented a similar strategy using multiple Sonar® pellet applications. Four new management zones were delineated to include the four new Hydrilla patches, and modifications were made to the current zones, for a total of 12 management zones covering 104 acres. Treatment began as soon as DNR divers observed Hydrilla emerging in most of the treatment areas. Treatments took place on June 10th, July 1st, July 27th, August 31st. Adjusting the formulations of Sonar® kept the dosage rate in the necessary range for the treatment period and allowed for one fewer treatment. No Hydrilla was observed in any of the treatment areas throughout the summer. One patch was observed outside the treatment zone, in an arm of Green Glade Cove.

Outreach and education efforts previously outlined continued in 2015. Additionally, local boat rental businesses will be more involved in outreach and education efforts in the future. DNR will continue with voluntary vessel inspections at the State Park boat launch and collect data from boaters regarding lake use and point of origin. The DNR Park Service's partnership with Garrett Community College for the 2015 provided 5 students to act as launch stewards. They collected valuable data to build on the 2014 effort, and will likely continue to act as DNR's primary means of AIS outreach at Deep Creek Lake.

Figure 4. 2015 Hydrilla management zones and Hydrilla patches discovered in August and September, 2014.

2016 Projections

DNR has a contract in place for the 2016 treatment and will continue its *Hydrilla* control strategy in the future. The new infestation will be added to the current treatment areas, and will be monitored throughout the summer.

The management of *Hydrilla* in Deep Creek Lake will require a prolonged multi-faceted approach, and will require a significant investment in time, money and effort to be successful. It is a reasonable expectation that control efforts will be underway for many years. However, the *Hydrilla* invasion is still fairly recent. Now is an excellent opportunity to manage this potential threat to the Lake's ecosystem and the region's economy.

References

Haller, W.T. 2009. Biology and control of aquatic Restoration Foundation. 89-93.

Netherland, M.D. 2009. Chapter 11, "Chemical Control of Aquatic Plants: A Best Management (eds.) Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Foundaplants: Chapter 13.1: Hydrilla. Aquatic Ecosystem

Control of Aquatic Weeds." Pp. 65-77 in Biology and Handbook, L.A. Gettys, W.T. Haller, & M. Bellaud tion, Marietta, GA. 210 pp

APPENDIX E

Potamogeton crispus

Curly Pondweed in Deep Creek Lake

2015

Appendix F: Potamogeton crispus

# of bods		# of plants	water	GPS North	GPS West	date docu-
# 01 Deus	QP3#	2 01 Ded Size	0.75	20.28.00.0		8/14/2015
	19	2	0.75	39.28.09.0	79 19 10 0	8/14/2015
2	21	9	0.75	39.20.00.7	79 19 09 8	8/14/2015
4	21	12	0.37	39 28 09 5	79 19 13 4	8/14/2015
5	22	4	0.97	39 28 09 3	79 19 13 6	8/14/2015
6	23	4	0.88	39,28,09,8	79,19,09,0	8/14/2015
7	25	3	0.8	39.28.09.3	79.19.14.0	8/14/2015
8	26	3	0.61	39.28.09.2	79.19.13.6	8/14/2015
9	27	7	0.91	39.28.09.1	79.19.14.8	8/14/2015
10	309	2	0.87	39.28.08.7	79.19.12.9	8/7/2015
11	310	10+	1.05	39.28.09.4	79.19.11.9	8/7/2015
12	311	50+	0.6	39.28.09.3	79.19.13.4	8/7/2015
13	312	8	1	39.28.09.1	79.19.13.4	8/7/2015
14	313	15	1.05	39.28.08.7	79.19.06.3	8/7/2015
15	314	3	1.02	39.28.08.6	79.19.06.4	8/7/2015
16	315	10	0.96	39.28.08.8	79.19.07.0	8/7/2015
17	316	10	1	39.28.08.5	79.19.07.0	8/7/2015
18	317	21	0.98	39.28.09.0	79.19.07.6	8/7/2015
19	318	19	1.02	39.28.09.2	79.19.08.4	8/7/2015
20	319	8	0.98	39.28.09.3	79.19.08.9	8/7/2015
21	320	11	0.82	39.28.09.1	79.19.09.4	8/7/2015
22	321	15	0.85	39.28.08.9	79.19.09.2	8/7/2015
23	322	17	0.8	39.28.09.0	79.19.09.4	8/7/2015
24	323	6	0.8	39.28.08.8	79.19.09.6	8/7/2015
25	324	34	1.02	39.28.09.5	79.19.10.7	8/7/2015
26	325	6	0.93	39.28.09.4	79.19.10.6	8/7/2015
27	327	36	0.91	39.28.09.5	79.19.11.1	8/7/2015
28	328	2m ²	0.97	39.28.09.5	79.19.10.9	8/7/2015
29	329	13	0.94	39.28.09.4	79.19.14.1	8/7/2015
30	330	56	0.67	39.28.09.7	79.19.14.1	8/7/2015
31	331	9	0.93	39.28.09.4	79.19.14.2	8/7/2015
32	332	5	0.82	39.28.09.8	79.19.14.6	8/7/2015
33	333	0.75m ²	0.8	39.28.09.5	79.19.14.5	8/7/2015
34	334	0.5m ²	0.9	39.28.09.2	79.19.13.6	8/7/2015
35	335	4.0m ²	0.96	39.28.09.4	79.19.14.2	8/7/2015
36	336	0.25m ²	0.95	39.28.09.3	79.19.14.1	8/7/2015
unknown	326	data lost	data lost	39.28.09.5	79.19.10.6	8/7/2015

**GPS #326 is believed to be a P. crispus bed but data was lost so it is not included

